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Abstract

Voice activity detection in the presence of highly non-stationary noise and transient interferences is an open problem. State-of-the-art voice activity detectors which are based on statistical models usually assume that the statistics of noise is slowly varying with respect to speech. This assumption does not hold for transient interferences which are short time interruptions, and the performance of these detectors significantly deteriorates. One solution is to incorporate a video signal which is invariant to the acoustic environment. Although several voice activity detectors based on the video signal were recently presented in the literature, merely few detectors which are based on both the audio and the video signals exist in the literature to date. In this thesis, we present two different approaches for voice activity detection. Both approaches incorporate supervised learning procedures and a labeled training data set is considered. In the first approach, we exploit the video signal and present an algorithm for audio-visual voice activity detection. The algorithm comprises a feature extraction procedure, where the features are designed to separate speech from non-speech frames. Diffusion maps is applied separately and similarly to the features of each modality to embed them in a low dimensional representation. Using the new representation, we propose a measure for voice activity which is based on a supervised learning procedure and the variability between adjacent frames in time. The measures of the two modalities are merged to provide voice activity detection based on both the audio and the video signals. Experimental results demonstrate that the incorporation of both audio and video signals is highly beneficial for voice activity detection. In addition, the improved performance of the proposed algorithm compared to state-of-the-art detectors is demonstrated. In the second approach, we focus on the audio signal and propose an algorithm for voice activity detection which is designed to operate in the presence of transients. We propose a continuous measure for voice activity based on the
Support Vector Machines (SVM) classifier. The measure of voice activity is constructed in a features domain, where the features are based on the scattering transform, include noise estimation, and are designed to separate speech and non-speech frames. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm outperforms state-of-the-art detectors for different types of background noises, and in particular accurately classifies frames which contain transient interferences.
Notation

\( a[n] \) measured audio signal
\( a^*[n] \) clean audio signal
\( a^d[n] \) background noise
\( a^t[n] \) transient
\( A(j, k) \) STFT of the measured signal
\( A^*(j, k) \) STFT of the clean signal
\( A^d(j, k) \) STFT of the background noise
\( A^t(j, k) \) STFT of the transient
\( A_R(j, k) \) real part of the STFT of the measured signal
\( A_I(j, k) \) imaginary part of the STFT of the measured signal
\( a \) audio frame
\( \hat{a} \) audio feature vector
\( \hat{a} \) diffusion maps of the audio signal
\( a^{MFCC} \) MFCCs
\( \mathcal{A} \) audio data set
\( \{\mathcal{A}^t, \mathcal{V}^t\} \) training audio-visual data set
\( \{\mathcal{A}^e, \mathcal{V}^e\} \) test audio-visual data set
\( C \) the number of MFCCs
\( d(\cdot) \) estimate of the density of the points in the diffusion maps model
\( d(\tau) \) background noise (continuous domain)
\( D(\cdot, \cdot) \) diffusion distance
\( D_{max} \) maximal diffusion distance between a pair of frames in the training set
\( f \) data point in the diffusion maps model
\( \hat{f} \) diffusion maps
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{F} )</td>
<td>set of data points in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>the height of the video frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{H}_0 )</td>
<td>speech absence hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{H}_1 )</td>
<td>speech presence hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{H}_s )</td>
<td>hypothesis for a stationary signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{H}_{ns} )</td>
<td>hypothesis for a non-stationary signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J^A )</td>
<td>time window in the construction of the audio features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J^V )</td>
<td>time window in the construction of the video features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J )</td>
<td>time window in the construction of the voice activity measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k(\cdot,\cdot) )</td>
<td>similarity kernel in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_d(\cdot,\cdot) )</td>
<td>normalized similarity kernel in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>the dimension of diffusion maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_f )</td>
<td>number of frequency bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L^S )</td>
<td>time window for smoothing the likelihood ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L^{US} )</td>
<td>time window for the calculation of ( P^{US}(\cdot) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_t )</td>
<td>distance to a hyperplane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{L}_t )</td>
<td>normalized distance to a hyperplane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{M} )</td>
<td>the dimension of a data point in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathbf{M} )</td>
<td>row stochastic Markov matrix in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>number of frames in the audio and the video data sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N^{te} )</td>
<td>number of frames in the test audio-visual data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N^{tr} )</td>
<td>number of frames in the training audio-visual data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{N} )</td>
<td>number of points in the diffusion maps model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N^{lag} )</td>
<td>number of lagged frames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_0) )</td>
<td>PDF conditioned on speech absence hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_1) )</td>
<td>PDF conditioned on speech presence hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P(\cdot) )</td>
<td>measure of voice activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P^S(\cdot) )</td>
<td>measure of voice activity which is based on GMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P^{US}(\cdot) )</td>
<td>measure of voice activity based on the variability between frames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P^B(\cdot,\cdot) )</td>
<td>bimodal measure of voice activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_t )</td>
<td>voice activity measure based on SVM classifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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$Q$ number of wavelets per octave

$S_m(y, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m)$ the scattering transform of the noisy signal

$T$ the length of the window function

$v$ video frame

$v^{MV}$ motion vectors

$\hat{v}$ video feature vector

$\hat{v}$ diffusion maps of the video signal

$\mathcal{V}$ video data set

$w_A$ weighting scalar based on noise estimation

$w_t$ weighting scalar (continuous domain)

$W$ the width of the video frame

$w$ weighting vector in the extension of diffusion maps

$y(\tau)$ noisy speech signal (continuous domain)

$y_t$ time frame of the noisy signal (continuous domain)

$Y(t, \omega)$ STFT of the noisy speech signal (continuous domain)

$y_t^M(\lambda)$ Mel-scale spectrogram

$y_t^S$ scattering features

$y_t$ feature vector (continuous domain)

$x(\tau)$ clean speech signal (continuous domain)

$z(\tau)$ transient interference (continuous domain)

$a$ parameter that defines a family of diffusion maps

$\alpha$ parameter that controls the given weight to the two modalities

$\tilde{\gamma}(j,k)$ a posteriori SNR

$\gamma(j,k)$ a posteriori NSSNR

$\Gamma$ likelihood ratio based on GMM

$\Gamma_{\text{max}}$ parameter that bounds the likelihood ratio

$\epsilon$ normalization parameter

$\lambda$ the central frequency of the band pass filter

$\{\lambda_k\}$ set of eigenvalues of the row stochastic Markov matrix

$\lambda_s(j,k)$ spectral variance of the clean signal

$\lambda_d(j,k)$ spectral variance of noise
\( \lambda_{t}(j, k) \) spectral variance of the transient
\( \Lambda_{j}(k) \) the log of the likelihood ratio
\( \Lambda_{j} \) arithmetic mean of the log of the likelihood ratio
\( \tilde{\Lambda}_{j} \) time averaged arithmetic mean of the log of the likelihood ratio
\( \tilde{\xi}(j, k) \) a priori SNR
\( \xi(j, k) \) a priori NSSNR
\( \phi \) window function
\( \phi'_{k} \) the \( k \)th entry of the extended diffusion maps
\( \Phi \) matrix whose columns consist of the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues of \( M \)
\( \{\phi_{k}\} \) set of eigenvectors of the row stochastic Markov matrix
\( \psi_{\lambda}(\tau) \) band pass filter
\( \Psi_{\lambda}(\omega) \) Fourier transform of the band pass filter
\( 1_{s} \) speech indicator
\( 1_{s}(t) \) speech indicator (continuous domain)
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>Area Under the Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVVAD</td>
<td>Audio-Visual Voice Activity Detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>Discrete Cosine Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMM</td>
<td>Gaussian Mixture Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMM</td>
<td>Hidden Markov Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMCRA</td>
<td>Improved Minima Controlled Recursive Averaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRT</td>
<td>Likelihood Ratio Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCRA</td>
<td>Minima Controlled Recursive Averaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFCC</td>
<td>Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFSC</td>
<td>Mel-Frequency Spectral Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>Minimum Mean Square Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSSNR</td>
<td>Non-Stationary Signal to Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-LSA</td>
<td>Optimally Modified Log Spectral Amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Probability Density Functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>Power Spectral Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>Receiver Operating Characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal to Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STFT</td>
<td>Short-Time Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Support Vector Machines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAD</td>
<td>Voice Activity Detector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation and Overview

Voice activity detection is an essential component in many applications such as speech and speaker recognition [2], speech coding, speech enhancement and dominant speaker identification [3]. Early methods for voice activity detection are based on straightforward features such as the energy of the signal and zero-crossing rate [4]. Although these methods perform well for clean signals, their performances deteriorate in the presence of background noise since for example the zero-crossing rate may be increased due to the background noise, wrongly indicating voice activity. To overcome this problem, several Voice Activity Detectors (VAD) which assume statistical models for the input signal and the noise, and are based on Likelyhood Ratio Test (LRT) were presented in recent years. Among the different statistical models are the Gaussian model [5, 6], a Laplacian model [7, 9] and a generalized Gamma model [10]. The LRT, in these methods, usually incorporates an estimation of the spectral variance of the noise, and common methods for its estimation, such as the Minima Controlled Recursive Averaging (MCRA) method [11–13], typically assume that the statistics of noise is slowly varying with respect to speech. This assumption does not hold for highly non-stationary noise and transient interferences, which are short time interruptions such as keyboard typing and door knocking [14, 15], and the performance of these methods significantly deteriorate.

Recently, A supervised learning algorithm for voice activity detection in the presence of highly non-stationary noise was presented in [16]. The algorithm comprises features
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selection procedure, where the feature are based on the Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) and noise estimation. Then, a spectral clustering method is applied in the features domain for the classification process. Although the algorithm was shown to outperform several state-of-the-art methods, its performance is still limited in the presence of transients since the transients and speech are only partially separated in the features domain. Therefore, voice activity detection in presence of highly non-stationary noise and transients remains an open problem.

One solution could be the incorporation of visual information which is invariant to the acoustic environment and is available in a variety of speech related products, e.g., teleconference rooms, free internet services of video telephony, and smart phone video callings. Thus, exploiting visual data of speech can be beneficial and practical for voice activity detection in a challenging acoustic environment, and indeed, several algorithms for voice activity detection which utilize the video signal were presented in the literature in recent years. While VADs which are based on the video signal have an advantage over VADs which are based on the audio signal in noisy environments, they fail to compete with state-of-the-art audio VADs in quiet environments, since even straightforward features, such as the energy of the audio signal, are near perfect indicators for speech presence in a quiet environment. Therefore, the incorporation of the audio and the video signals may be advantageous for voice activity detection. Yet, only few algorithms that combine the audio and the video signal for voice activity detection have been presented in the literature to date.

In this thesis we present two supervised learning algorithms for voice activity detection. Both algorithms consider training data sets which contain speech utterances contaminated with background noise and transients, and are designed to perform in a highly non-stationary environment. In the first algorithm, we assume that the speech signal is captured by two different sensors, a single microphone and a video camera, where the video signal comprises a bounding box of the region of the mouth. The algorithm is based on an assumption that speech has an underlying geometric structure which stems from the physics of its production and does not depend on the sensors. We apply diffusion maps, which is a data driven geometric method, similarly and separately to each modality, to reveal the underlying structure. The diffusion maps is applied in a domain
of features which are designed to separate speech and non-speech frames, and provides a low dimensional representation of the signals. The low dimensional representation is used to define a measure for voice activity which incorporates a supervised learning procedure based on Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and an unsupervised learning procedure that assumes different variability rates of the signal during speech and non-speech intervals. The measures of voice activity based on the audio and the video signals are finally merged to provide a bimodal measure of voice activity which in turn used for voice activity detection. The performance of the proposed algorithm are evaluated for different types of noises and transients, and compared to state-of-the-art VADs. Experimental results demonstrate improved performance of the proposed algorithm compared to state-of-the-art VADs, and in particular, the advantage of the incorporation of both the audio and the video signals for voice activity detection is demonstrated.

The second algorithm exploits only the audio signal and is based on the scattering transform. The scattering transform is constructed through a set of convolutions of the signal with wavelet filter banks and modulus operators, and provides a representation of the signal in the form of a deep network. High orders of the scattering transform successfully characterize short time scale cues and specifically transients. The proposed algorithm exploits features which are based on the scattering transform, and allows for a good separation between speech and transients, and in addition incorporates noise estimation procedure that allows to separate speech from background noise. The features are used to define a continuous measure of voice activity based on the SVM classifier. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm outperforms state-of-the-art detectors and in particular provides accurate detections in frames which contain transients.

1.2 Thesis Structure

The rest of the thesis is organized as follows: In Chapter 2 we survey recent algorithms for voice activity detection based on audio and video signals. In addition, we provide a short theoretical background on Diffusion Maps, which is a manifold learning method and the Scattering transform. In Chapter 3 we present an algorithm for audio-visual voice activity detection using diffusion maps and evaluate its performance. In Chapter 4 we present an
algorithm for voice activity detection which exploits only the audio signal and is based on the scattering transform. In Chapter 5 we conclude the findings of this research and discuss further research directions.
Chapter 2

Related Work and Theoretical Background

2.1 Introduction

Voice activity detection in a noisy environment is an open problem which was extensively studied in the last two decade. In Section 2.2 we review milestone and recent methods for voice activity detection based on the audio signal and show their dependency on accurate estimation of noise. In Section 2.3 we review a well known method for noise estimation and explain its limitations in the presence of transients, which are short term interruptions, e.g., keyboard typing, door knocking and office noise [14, 15]. In this thesis we propose to incorporate the video signal which is invariant to the acoustic environment. In Section 2.4 we review methods for voice activity detection which are based on the video signal and remark that only few studies deal with the incorporation of the audio and the video signals. In this thesis the representation of the audio and the video signals is based on diffusion maps, which is a geometric method for dimensionality reduction, and is reviewed in Section 2.5. In addition, we exploit the scattering transform for the representation of audio signals, and we provide a brief theoretical background for the scattering transform in Section 2.6.
2.2 Audio Voice Activity Detection

Early methods for voice activity detection which are based on the audio signal, such as the G.729 standard \[4\], are based on straightforward features such as the energy of the signal and zero-crossing rate. Although they perform well for clean signals, the performances of these methods deteriorate in the presence of background noise since for example the zero-crossing rate may be increased due to background noise, wrongly indicating on voice activity.

To overcome this problem, several VADs which assume statistical models to the input signal and the noise, and are based on LRT were presented in the last two decades \[5\]–\[10\]. Next, we briefly review the general structure of these methods and the related statistical models. Let \(a[n]\) be the measured speech signal contaminated with additive background noise, given by:

\[
a[n] = a^s[n] + a^d[n]
\]  

(2.1)

where \(a^s[n]\), and \(a^d[n]\) are speech and the background noise, respectively. We remark that in this section, we assume a traditional model of the noisy signal which includes the speech signal and the background noise but not transients, and the effect of transients is discussed in Section 2.3. The signal is processed using consecutive frames in the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) domain, such that equation (2.1) is given in the STFT domain by:

\[
A(j, k) = A^s(j, k) + A^d(j, k)
\]  

(2.2)

where \(A(j, k)\), \(A^s(j, k)\), and \(A^d(j, k)\) are the STFTs of \(a[n]\), \(a^s[n]\) and \(a^d[n]\), respectively, \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, K^f\) is the frequency bin index, and \(j = 1, 2, \ldots\) is the time frame index. Consider two hypotheses, \(\mathcal{H}_0\) and \(\mathcal{H}_1\), denoting speech absence and speech presence, respectively, and let \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_0)\) and \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_1)\) be Probability Density Functions (PDF) of the input signal in the STFT domain conditioned on the hypotheses. Accordingly, a log of the likelihood ratio is defined:

\[
\Lambda_j(k) = \log \left( \frac{p_r (A(j, k); \mathcal{H}_1)}{p_r (A(j, k); \mathcal{H}_0)} \right).
\]  

(2.3)

In the method presented in \[5\], the signal and the noise are assumed to be complex Gaussian uncorrelated processes in the STFT domain, i.e., the conditional PDFs are given
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by:

\[ p_r (A(j, k); \mathcal{H}_0) = \frac{1}{\pi \lambda_d(j, k)} \exp \left\{ - \frac{|A(j, k)|^2}{\lambda_d(j, k)} \right\}, \quad (2.4) \]

\[ p_r (A(j, k); \mathcal{H}_1) = \frac{1}{\pi [\lambda_s(j, k) + \lambda_d(j, k)]} \exp \left\{ - \frac{|A(j, k)|^2}{\lambda_s(j, k) + \lambda_d(j, k)} \right\}, \quad (2.5) \]

where \( \lambda_s(j, k) \) and \( \lambda_d(j, k) \) are the spectral variance of speech and noise, respectively. For the Gaussian model, it can be shown that \( \Lambda_j(k) \) is given by [5,17]:

\[ \Lambda_j(k) = \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(j, k) \cdot \tilde{\xi}(j, k)}{1 + \xi(j, k)} - \log \left( 1 + \tilde{\xi}(j, k) \right) \right), \quad (2.6) \]

where \( \tilde{\xi}(j, k) \triangleq \frac{\lambda_s(j, k)}{\lambda_d(j, k)} \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}(j, k) = \frac{|A(j, k)|^2}{\lambda_d(j, k)} \) are called a priori and a posteriori SNR, respectively. We remark that both the a priori SNR, \( \tilde{\xi}(j, k) \), and the spectral variance of the noise, \( \lambda_d(j, k) \), are also exploited in the following reviewed methods. The estimation of the spectral variance of the noise from the noisy signal is reviewed in Section 2.3, and in the following we review the estimation of the a priori SNR using the Decision Directed Method [17]. Let \( \hat{\lambda}_d(j, k) \) be the estimated spectral variance, and let \( \hat{A}_s(j, k) \) be the Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) estimator of the speech signal, the estimated a priori SNR is given by:

\[ \hat{\xi}(j, k) = \alpha \frac{|\hat{A}_s(j - 1, k)|^2}{\hat{\lambda}_d(j - 1, k)} + (1 - \alpha) \hat{P}[\tilde{\gamma}(j, k) - 1], \]

where \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) is a smoothing parameter, \( \tilde{\gamma}(j, k) - 1 \) is the maximum likelihood estimator of \( \tilde{\xi}(j, k) \), and \( \hat{P}(x) = \begin{cases} x & : \quad x \geq 0 \\ 0 & : \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \) and is used for keeping non-negative values of the estimator [17].

The estimated log of the likelihood ratio in (2.6) is averaged over the frequency bins, and the arithmetic mean of the log of the likelihood ratio is given by:

\[ \Lambda_j = \frac{1}{K^j} \sum_{k=1}^{K^j} \Lambda_j(k). \quad (2.7) \]

\( \Lambda_j \) is a scalar feature that indicates on voice activity in frame index \( j \), such that the higher \( \Lambda_j \) the higher is the probability that speech is present in the frame. Voice activity
is detected in [5], by comparing $\Lambda_j$ to a threshold such that speech is present in the frame if $\Lambda_j$ is greater than a certain threshold. Finally the decision of the VAD is smoothed using a Hang-Over scheme which assumes a strong correlation in the occurrences of consecutive speech frames [5].

The authors in [6] extended the method presented in [5] by assuming that long-term speech information can be beneficial for voice activity detection due to high correlation between consecutive speech frames. They proposed a VAD which is based on an LRT that involves multiple observations, such that the log of the likelihood ratio based on several observations, denoted by $\tilde{\Lambda}_j(k)$, is given by:

$$
\tilde{\Lambda}_j(k) = \log \left( \frac{p_r([A(j - J, k), A(j - J + 1, k), ..., A(j + J, k)]; H_1)}{p_r([A(j - J, k), A(j - J + 1, k), ..., A(j + J, k)]; H_0)} \right),
$$

where $[A(j - J, k), A(j - J + 1, k), ..., A(j + J, k)]$ is a row vector of $2J + 1$ observations. Assuming that the observations are independent, it is shown in [6] that $\tilde{\Lambda}_j(k)$ is given by:

$$
\tilde{\Lambda}_j(k) = \frac{1}{2J + 1} \sum_{i=j-J}^{j+J} \Lambda_i,
$$

where $\Lambda_i$ is the arithmetic mean of the log of the likelihood ratio based a single observation, defined in (2.7). The authors reported on superior performance using the multiple observations approach compared to the approach based on a single observation.

In the studies presented in [7, 8] and [9] a Laplacian distribution is assumed for the STFTs of the signals. According to this assumption, the conditional PDFs of the input signal are given by:

$$
p_r(A(j, k); H_0) = \frac{1}{\lambda_s(j, k) + \lambda_d(j, k)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2 \left( |A_R(j, k)| + |A_I(j, k)| \right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_s(j, k)}} \right\},
$$

$$
p_r(A(j, k); H_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda_s(j, k) + \lambda_d(j, k)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{2 \left( |A_R(j, k)| + |A_I(j, k)| \right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_s(j, k) + \lambda_d(j, k)}} \right\},
$$

where $A_R(j, k)$ and $A_I(j, k)$ are the real and the imaginary parts of the input signal, respectively, and are assumed to be independent. Accordingly, the log of the likelihood
ratio based on the Laplacian model is given by:

\[ \Lambda_j(k) = 2 (|A_R(j,k)| + |A_I(j,k)|) \left( \frac{|A(j,k)| - \sqrt{\lambda_d(j,k)}}{\sqrt{|A(j,k)|\lambda_d(j,k)}} \right) - \log \left(1 + \tilde{\xi}(j,k)\right). \] (2.9)

It is empirically shown by the authors in [8] that the Laplacian distribution better fits the spectrum of the noisy signal compared to the Gaussian distribution for different types of noises, including vehicular noise and babble noise. In addition, it was reported that the LRT based on the Laplacian model provides better classification results with respect to the Gaussian model. In the method presented in [9], the LRT is further extended to incorporate the correlation between consecutive frames. The likelihood ratio is based on PDFs of the signal which are conditioned not only on the hypotheses of speech presence and absence in the current frame, but also on the hypotheses in the previous one.

In the study presented in [18], the authors tested the fitness of Gamma distribution in addition to Gaussian and Laplacian distributions to the spectrum of the noisy signal. The PDFs of the Gamma distribution conditioned on the two hypotheses are given by:

\[ p_r(A(j,k); H_0) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8\pi \sqrt{\lambda_d(j,k)} |A_R(j,k)| A_I(j,k)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{3} (|A_R(j,k)| + |A_I(j,k)|)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\lambda_d(j,k)}} \right\}, \]

and:

\[ p_r(A(j,k); H_1) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8\pi \sqrt{(\lambda_s(j,k) + \lambda_d(j,k)) |A_R(j,k)| A_I(j,k)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{3} (|A_R(j,k)| + |A_I(j,k)|)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\lambda_s(j,k) + \lambda_d(j,k)}} \right\}. \]

It is found that the fitness of a particular model to the spectrum of the noisy signal depends on the type of the noise and the SNR, and a method for voice activity detection is presented, where the statistical model is adaptively chosen in an online manner according to the most fitting model.

Recently, a novel VAD which is designed to perform in a highly non-stationary environments was presented in [16]. The method is based on a supervised learning procedure and exploits features which are based on MFCCs. The MFCCs provide a time frequency representation of the signal which is widely used for speech recognition and its construction is described in Section 2.6.1. Unlike previous methods which are entirely based on
the likelihood ratio, in this method the arithmetic mean of the log of the likelihood ratio, \( \Lambda_j \) in (2.7), is used in the features level to weight the MFCCs, such that low weights are assigned to frames which contain only background noise. The classification to speech and non-speech frames is given by a spectral clustering method and improved performance of voice activity detection in the presence of highly non stationary noise is reported.

2.3 Noise Spectrum Estimation and The Effect of Transients

Common to the methods described throughout the previous section is that they all rely on the estimation of the spectral variance of the noise, \( \lambda_d(j, k) \), and their performance highly depends on accurate estimation of noise statistics. One of the well known and widely used methods for the estimation of the spectral variance of the noise is the MCRA method [11–13], where the spectral variance is recursively smoothed in speech absence intervals. Although this method have some extensions such as the method in [19], for simplicity, we review the early method. In addition, despite the success of this method in the estimation of the spectral variance of various quasi-stationary types of noises, we explain in this section why it is not adequate for the estimation of the spectral variance of transients.

The estimate of the spectral variance of the noise is given by smoothing the energy of the noisy signal over time in speech absent intervals and keeping previous values of the estimate in speech intervals. More specifically:

\[
\hat{\lambda}_d(j + 1, k) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_d \hat{\lambda}_d(j, k) + (1 - \alpha_d) |A(j, k)|^2 ; & H'_0 \\
\hat{\lambda}_d(j, k) ; & H'_1
\end{cases},
\] (2.10)

where \( \alpha_d \in (0, 1) \) is a smoothing parameter, and \( H'_0 \) and \( H'_1 \) are speech absence and speech presence hypotheses for noise spectrum estimation, respectively. These hypotheses are distinct from \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) such that a decision that speech is absent is taken with a lower confidence, i.e., \( p_r(H'_0|A(j, k)) \leq p_r(H_0|A(j, k)) \). The hypotheses \( H'_0 \) and \( H'_1 \) are used in order to avoid the smoothing of \( \hat{\lambda}_d \) over speech components due to wrong speech detections. Since \( H'_0 \) and \( H'_1 \) are unknown and can be estimated up to some degree of
certainty, (2.10) implies:

\[ \hat{\lambda}_d(j + 1, k) = \hat{\lambda}_d(j, k)p'(j, k) + \left[ \alpha_\delta \hat{\lambda}_d(j, k) + (1 - \alpha_\delta)|A(j, k)|^2 \right] (1 - p'(j, k)), \]

where \( p'(j, k) \) is the probability of speech presence conditioned on the noisy signal, i.e., \( p'(j, k) = p_s(H'_1 | A(j, k)) \).

\( p'(j, k) \) is estimated by tracking the energy of the noisy signal which is smoothed in time and frequency. Let \( S_f(j, k) \) be a local energy of the signal smoothed in the frequency domain using a window of length \( 2\tilde{\omega} + 1 \):

\[ S_f(j, k) = \sum_{i=-\tilde{\omega}}^{\tilde{\omega}} b(i)|A(j, k - i)|^2, \]

where \( b(i) \) is a window function. The energy of the noisy signal, which is smoothed in time and frequency, is denoted by \( S(j, k) \), and is given by smoothing \( S_f(j, k) \) over time:

\[ S(j, k) = \alpha_s S(j - 1, k) + (1 - \alpha_s)S_f(j, k), \]

where \( \alpha_s \in (0, 1) \) is a smoothing parameter. Speech is assumed to be present, i.e., the hypothesis \( H'_1 \) is assumed to hold, when the smoothed energy of the signal is greater than the energy of the noise. Let \( I(j, k) \) be an indicator of speech, which is given by:

\[ I(j, k) = \begin{cases} 
1 & ; S(j, k) > \delta S_{\min}(j, k) \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}, \tag{2.11} \]

where \( \delta \) is a constant parameter, and \( S_{\min}(j, k) \) is the minimum of the smoothed energy of the noisy signal in a causal temporal neighborhood and is associated with the energy of the noise. The estimate of the conditioned speech presence probability, \( p'(j, k) \), is given by taking into account the strong correlation between consecutive speech frames, and smoothing the indicator \( I(j, k) \) in (2.11) over time to reduce frequent fluctuations between speech presence and speech absence decisions. In particular, \( p'(j, k) \) is given by:

\[ p'(j, k) = \alpha_p p'(j - 1, k) + (1 - \alpha_p)I(j, k), \]
where $\alpha_p \in (0, 1)$.

We remark that the main assumption in the estimation of the spectral variance of the noise lies in equation (2.11), where speech is associated with abrupt changes of the smoothed energy of the signal. Namely, the noise is assumed to slowly vary with respect to speech. This assumption does not hold for transient interferences which are characterized by abrupt bursts of sound and vary faster than speech [14, 15, 20]. Therefore, transients are wrongly estimated as speech by the indicator of speech presence, $I(j, k)$, leading to false low levels of the spectral variance of the noise and to high levels of the log of the likelihood ratio. As a result, the performance of VADs which are based on the LRT significantly deteriorates in the presence of transients. In the method presented in [16], the arithmetic mean of the log likelihood ratio, $\Lambda_j$, is used to weight the features. In the presence of transients, high weights are assigned both in presence of speech and transient which are the fast varying components of the measured signal. Therefore, the features only partially separate speech from the transients, and the performance of the VAD is still limited. Voice activity detection in presence of transients remains an open problem.

### 2.4 Visual Voice Activity Detection

Nowadays, video calls are becoming a standard way of communication, and modern devices, e.g., smartphones and laptops, have integral microphones and cameras. The availability of a video signal, in addition to the audio signal, can be highly beneficial for voice activity detection, especially in challenging acoustic environments, since the video signal is invariant to acoustic noise in general, and transients in particular.

Existing voice activity detection methods, which are based on visual data, focus on the analysis of the region of the mouth, and in particular the lips. However, their main drawback is their dependency on the detection of the lips, which often rely on artificial markers and whose accuracy may be degraded due to skin color or illumination conditions. For example, in the studies presented in [21] and [22], the detection is based on features, which are constructed from contours of the lips. However, the extraction of the contours requires that the lips would be marked using a blue makeup. In [23], the presented VAD exploits the shape and color of the lips, which are obtained assuming that the lips are
marked by key points. Another approach for extracting the lips, which assumes that the color of lips is significantly different from the color of skin, was proposed in [24] and [25].

Another approach to visual voice activity detection relies on the dynamics of the region of the mouth. In [23], a second algorithm based on the movements of lips was presented as well. This algorithm focuses on the analysis of the region of the mouth, which is enhanced using a retinal filter. Although exhibiting good performance, the detection was found to be sensitive to lips movements in speech absent intervals. In [26], a similar approach based on motion estimation in the region of mouth was presented. Motion fields are used as features to characterize the change of the position of the mouth over time and a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is used for the classification. Such a motion estimation approach was also utilized in [27]. There, the energy in the mouth region is defined using optical flow and serves as a feature for a classifier based on an HMM. A VAD based on intensity values in the region of the mouth was presented in [28], where the detection is based on the number of low intensity pixels, which are modeled using a Gaussian model for speech and non-speech hypotheses. Although high detection rates were reported, the algorithm may be limited in real time applications because the entire speech sequence is required in advance to estimate the noise statistics.

Although VADs based on video signals have an advantage over VADs based on audio signals in noisy conditions, they usually fail to compete with audio based detectors, since a trivial classifier based on the energy of the audio signal can obtain near perfect performance in quiet environments. Therefore, a bimodal VAD may combine the advantages of both audio and video signals. Yet, only few detectors based on both audio and video signals exist in the literature to date. Such an Audio-Visual Voice Activity Detector (AVVAD) was presented in [29], where the video signal is analyzed using a Bayesian approach to detect the lips, followed by an HMM to model the lips movements. The audio signal, which is assumed to be acquired in a microphone array, is used to compute a spatio-temporal coherence of the source. Then, another HMM is used for speech presence estimation. Finally, the two modalities are combined at the classification stage using a tree based classifier.
2.5 Diffusion Maps

In recent years, great efforts were made to develop tools for the analysis of high dimensional data, based on its geometric structure. The main assumption of these methods, which are also referred to as manifold learning, is that the observable high dimensional data lies on a low dimensional manifold. Namely, the data has an intrinsic compact geometric structure, and as a result, can be represented in a compact way. The goal of these methods is to reveal the underlying structure of the data, and provide a parametrization of the data on the manifold, i.e., embed (map) the data to a low dimensional Euclidean domain. In the methods presented in [30–34] a Markov chain is constructed on a graph of the data, and the main idea is that eigenvectors of Markov matrices may be seen as the underlying coordinates of the data. Diffusion maps, is a general framework for manifold learning, where the aforementioned methods may be seen as a special case. Next we briefly describe the construction of diffusion maps.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ be a set of $\tilde{N}$ data points in an $\tilde{M}$ dimensional Euclidean domain. A pairwise similarity kernel function $k(f_i, f_j)$ between the $i$th and the $j$th data points is defined as:

$$k(f_i, f_j) = e^{-\frac{||f_i - f_j||^2}{\epsilon}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $|| \cdot ||$ is the $L_2$ norm and $\epsilon$ is the kernel bandwidth chosen according to [35]. Since the data points are not uniformly distributed on the manifold, the kernel is normalized by an estimate of the density of the data points on the manifold [36]:

$$k_d(f_i, f_j) = \frac{k(f_i, f_j)}{(d(f_i))^a (d(f_j))^a}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $d(f_i)$ is the estimate of the density given by:

$$d(f_i) = \sum_{f_j \in \mathcal{F}} k(f_i, f_j). \quad (2.14)$$

The parameter $a \in [0, 1]$ defines a family of diffusion maps, and controls the degree of the influence of the density of the points on the embedding of the data. For the special case where $a = 0$, the diffusion maps are similar to the classical manifold learning
methods [32,34] where the influence of the density is maximal. For the other extreme case, where \( a = 1 \), diffusion maps provides density invariant embedding and recover the the Riemannian geometry of the manifold, even if the data points are not distributed uniformly on the manifold [36]. This case is in particular adequate for merging data captured by different types of sensors (in this study a microphone and a video camera), with different densities [35,37]. Based on the normalized kernel, a weighted symmetric graph is constructed, where each data point, \( f_i \), is viewed as a node and the weight of the edge connecting nodes \( i \) and \( j \) is given by \( k_d(f_i,f_j) \). A Markov chain on the graph is defined by normalizing the kernel once again. Let \( M \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}} \) be a row stochastic Markov matrix, given by:

\[
M_{i,j} = \frac{k_d(f_i,f_j)}{s(f_j)}, \tag{2.15}
\]

where

\[
s(f_j) = \sum_{f_j \in \mathcal{F}} k_d(f_i,f_j). \tag{2.16}
\]

As a result, the nodes of the graph, \( f_j \in \mathcal{F} \), may be seen as the states of a Markov chain with the transition probability matrix \( M \). Finally, an eigenvalue decomposition is applied to \( M \), yielding eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_k\} \), which are sorted in descending order, and corresponding eigenvectors \( \{\phi_k\} \). The eigenvalues of \( M \) are in the range of \( 0 \div 1 \) due to the row normalization [36]. Moreover, \( \lambda_0 = 1 \) and its associated eigenvector \( \phi_0 \) is an all-ones vector. This constant eigenvector is ignored since it does not contain any information [37].

The first \( K \) largest eigenvalues (excluding the trivial) and the corresponding \( K \) eigenvectors of \( M \) are used for the parametrization of the data points on the manifold. A matrix \( \Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{N} \times K} \), whose columns consist of the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues of the transition probability matrix, is formed:

\[
\Phi \equiv (\lambda_1 \phi_1, \lambda_2 \phi_2, ..., \lambda_K \phi_K). \tag{2.17}
\]

From (2.17), the diffusion mapping of the feature vector \( f_i \) is given by the \( i \)th row of the matrix \( \Phi \):

\[
\hat{f}_i = (\Phi_{i,1}, \Phi_{i,2}, ..., \Phi_{i,K}). \tag{2.18}
\]
Thus, an embedding $\hat{f}_i$ of each data point $i$ into a $K$ dimensional Euclidean space is obtained. Due to the assumption, that there exists a low intrinsic structure of the data, the spectrum of the transition probability matrix (the eigenvalues) decays fast. Therefore, entries in (2.17), that correspond to small eigenvalues, are negligible and $K$ may be set to a small value, thereby providing significant dimensionality reduction.

Diffusion maps were previously exploited for audio-visual speech recognition in [35]. The authors proposed to exploit density invariant embedding, i.e., setting $a = 1$ in (2.13), and apply diffusion maps in a similar way to each modality. The data is merged by a concatenation of the diffusion maps of each modality into a super-vector [35,37], and the superiority of bimodal processing with respect to single modal processing was reported. In addition, improved recognition rates were achieved for density invariant embedding ($a = 1$) with respect to the case where the embedding is influenced by the density of the data on the manifold.

2.6 The scattering transform and comparison to MFCC

In this section we briefly review the MFCC representation of speech signals and the scattering transform and discuss the connection between them. We remark that following [1], we present these two representation in the continuous domain.

2.6.1 MFCC

Let $y(\tau)$ be a (noisy) speech signal and let $Y(t,\omega)$ be the representation of $y(\tau)$ in the STFT domain, given by:

$$Y(t,\omega) = \int y(u)\phi(u-t)e^{-j\omega u}du$$

(2.19)

where $\phi$ is a window function centered at time $t$. A Mel-frequency spectrogram is defined using Mel-scale filters $\{\psi_{\lambda}(\tau)\}_\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the center frequency, and the Fourier transform of filter $\psi_{\lambda}(\tau)$ is given by $\Psi_{\lambda}(\omega)$. The Mel-frequency spectrogram is given by averaging
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the energy of the STFT of the signal with Mel-scale filters:

\[ y_t^M(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |Y(t, \omega)|^2 |\Psi_\lambda(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \] (2.20)

According to the Mel-frequency scale, \[\{\Psi_\lambda(\omega)\}_{\lambda}\] is a filter bank of band pass filters which covers the whole frequency axis. Filters that are centered at high frequencies, have a constant-\(Q\) bandwidth of \(\lambda/Q\), and those that are centered at the low frequencies, have a bandwidth of \(2\pi/T\), where \(T\) is the length of the time window. The constant-\(Q\) filters (for the high frequencies) are given by a complex analytical wavelet \(\psi(\tau)\), whose Fourier transform \(\Psi(\omega)\) approximately equals zero for \(\omega < 0\) and \(\Psi(0) = 0\). In practice, all wavelets used in this thesis are Morlet wavelets as in [1]. The wavelet filter bank, \[\{\psi_\lambda(\tau)\}_{\lambda}\], is given by dilating the wavelet, such that \(\psi_\lambda(t) = \lambda\psi(\lambda t)\). For the high frequencies, i.e., where \(\lambda \geq 2\pi Q/T\), \(\lambda\) is given by \(\lambda = 2^j/Q, j \in \mathbb{Z}\), where \(Q\) is the number of wavelets per octave. For \(\lambda < 2\pi Q/T\), \(Q - 1\) equally spaced filters are used with constant bandwidth \(2\pi/T\) to cover the low frequency interval. For simplicity, the lower frequency filters are still termed wavelets. The MFCCs are given by applying a cosine transform on the coefficients of the log of the spectrogram, which decorrelates the coefficients.

2.6.2 The Scattering Transform

The scattering transform may be seen as an extension of the Mel-frequency spectrogram. For large values of \(\lambda\), \(\phi(t)\) is approximately constant on the support of \(\psi_\lambda(\tau)\) and it is shown in [1] that the Mel-frequency spectrogram in (2.20) can be approximated in this case by:

\[ y_t^M(\lambda) \approx |y \ast \psi_\lambda|^2 \ast |\phi|^2(t). \] (2.21)

Thus, the spectrogram is approximately equals to time averaging of \(|y \ast \psi_\lambda|^2\), where \(\phi\) may be seen as a low pass filter. The MFCCs are efficient descriptors for the representation of speech signals in the time-frequency domain and are widely used in speech recognition [38]. However, averaging frequency bands over the Mel-scale causes a loss of information [1]. For example, the representation of transients, which are short term cues, may be similar
to the representation of speech due to the time averaging.

The scattering transform extends the MFCCs by providing a representation which is more suitable for the representation of short term cues as the lost information is recovered with multiple layers of wavelet coefficients. The scattering transform is defined by cascading wavelets decompositions and modulus operators providing a deep scattering network. Let \( S_m(y, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m) \) be the scattering transform of order \( m \). The zero order scattering transform is given by \( S_0(t) = y \ast \phi(t) \), and it approximately equals zero as speech signals contain low energy in low frequencies. Thus, the zero order transform is not of a great importance and it is given here for the sake of completeness. The first order scattering transform approximates the Mel-frequency spectrogram, and similarly to (2.21), is given by:

\[
S_1(t, \lambda_1) = |y \ast \psi_{\lambda_1}| \ast \phi(t) \tag{2.22}
\]

where the filter bank, \( \{\psi_{\lambda_1}\}_{\lambda_1} \) is similar to the one used in the construction of the MFCCs and \( \phi \) is a low pass filter. Note that the square in (2.21) is removed to reduce the dynamical range. Similarly, the \( m \)th order scattering transform is given by:

\[
S_m(t, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m) = |||y \ast \psi_{\lambda_1}| \ast ... | \ast \psi_{\lambda_m}| \ast \phi(t) \tag{2.23}
\]

Note that large values of \( m \) impose high computational load. In this thesis we use only the first and the seconds order scattering transforms as higher order transforms have not found to provide significant advantage in previous studies [1] and [39]. The scattering network which is defined by (2.23) is illustrated in Fig. 2.1.

2.7 Discussion

In this chapter we reviewed state-of-the-art methods for voice activity detection. Methods which are based on the audio signal, typically rely on the estimation of the spectral variance of the noise. The latter is estimated based on the basic assumption that speech is abruptly varying, and therefore, the noise is characterized as the slowly varying part of the noisy signal. However, transients are also abruptly varying over time and are not estimated as the non-speech part of the signal. As a result, transients are wrongly
detected as speech and the performance of state-of-the-art VADs significantly deteriorated. In this study we propose to incorporate the video signal which is invariant to the acoustic environment and can be beneficial for voice activity detection. Although different types of features are used in the literature to represent the video signal for voice activity detection, we remark on two main characteristics of speech, which are emphasized in these methods: the shape of the mouth and its dynamics. While open mouth is typically associated with speech intervals, the mouth can be completely closed during continuous speech. The second characteristic, which is the dynamics of the mouth movement, is used to avoid wrong detections in such scenarios by assuming rapid movement of the mouth during speech intervals.

Despite the large progress in the field of voice activity detection based on single channel processing (audio or video), only few studies focus on the incorporation of the audio and the video signals. In the study presented in [35], the audio and the video signals are mutually used for speech recognition. The audio and the video signals are represented using diffusion maps and are merged by concatenating the diffusion maps of each modality into a super-vector. Although the authors in [35] reported on promising results, the diffusion maps is limited in the representation of speech signals in the presence of non-speech interruptions and noise. In voice activity detection for example, the representation of transients may be similar to the representation of the clean signal (speech), which may lead to incorrect detections. In this study, we deal with this limitation by applying
diffusion maps in a domain of features which are designed to separate speech and non-
speech frames. In particular, the kernel in (2.12), which represents the similarity between
data points, is defined in the features domain, and the method reveals the geometric
structure of the features, leading to a representation where speech and non-speech frames
are separated into two different clusters. In addition, in Chapter 4 we show that the
merging scheme proposed in [35,37] is not optimal for voice activity detection in a noisy
environment, and propose a merging scheme which provides improved performance.

In addition, in this chapter we reviewed the scattering transform which is exploited
in Chapter 4 for voice activity detection. The scattering transform extends the repre-
sentation of audio signals using MFCCs through a deep network, and allows for a better
representation of transients using high orders of the scattering transform.
Chapter 3

Audio-Visual VAD Using Diffusion Maps

3.1 Introduction

In this Chapter, we present an algorithm for audio-visual voice activity detection. The inputs to the algorithm are audio and video signals recorded in a single microphone and a single video camera, respectively. The algorithm is based on a supervised learning procedure, and we consider a training data set which comprises speech signals contaminated by different types of noise and transients, and is labeled according to the presence and the absence of speech. The algorithm comprises three steps: first, high dimensional features are computed to represent the signals of each modality. The audio features are based on weighted MFCC [38] and are designed to separate the stationary from the non-stationary parts of the signal. The video features are based on motion vectors, which capture well both the shape of the mouth and its dynamics. Second, we adopt similar concepts to the spectral clustering algorithm presented in [16] and exploit a manifold learning method, diffusion maps [36], which is applied separately and similarly to the features computed from each modality. Diffusion maps provides a low dimensional representation of the signals which is suitable for merging data captured from different types of sensors [35]. In addition, it captures the intrinsic structure of the data and provides a good distance metric to separate speech and non-speech frames. Finally, a measure for voice activity is defined based on the diffusion mapping. This measure incorporates both a supervised
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clustering procedure, which is based on a GMM, and an unsupervised procedure that
exploits the variability of consecutive frames. The GMM is used to separate speech and
non-speech clusters according to the labeled training data, and the unsupervised pro-
cedure separates the two clusters by assuming high variability between adjacent speech
frames. The computed measures for voice activity from the two modalities are merged
into a single bimodal measure, which is in turn used to estimate the speech presence
indicator.

The proposed algorithm is tested in the presence of highly non-stationary noise and
transients. Experimental results demonstrate the improved performance of the single
modal versions of the proposed algorithm over state-of-the-art single modal VADs. In ad-
dition, we show that the proposed AVVAD outperforms each of the single modal versions
of the algorithm, demonstrating the effectiveness of the bimodal approach. The algorithm
is implemented in a frame-by-frame manner with a low computational load, which makes
it applicable for online applications.

The remainder of the Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 3.2 we formulate
the problem. The proposed algorithm is described in Section 3.3. Experimental results
demonstrating the performance of the algorithm are presented in Section 3.4.

3.2 Problem Formulation

Let \( a[n] \) be a measured audio signal given by:

\[
a[n] = a^s[n] + a^d[n] + a^t[n]
\]  

(3.1)

where \( a^s[n] , a^d[n] \) and \( a^t[n] \) are speech, background noise and transient interference, re-
respectively.

The signal is processed in overlapping time frames of length \( M \). Let \( a_i \in \mathbb{R}^M \) be the
ith audio frame, and let \( \mathcal{A} = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^N \) be an audio data set of \( N \) time frames.

The video signal is assumed to comprise the region of the mouth, which is cropped out
from a recorded front side video of a speaker. Note that the identification of the mouth
region extends the scope of this study. Nevertheless, we briefly describe in section 3.4 the
procedure performed in our experiments as a preprocessing stage. Let \( V = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^N \) be the video data set comprising \( N \) consecutive video frames \( v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times H} \), where \( W \) and \( H \) are the width and the height of the frame, respectively.

We assume that for each frame index \( i \), \( a_i \) and \( v_i \) are aligned. Namely, both frames represent data captured by different sensors at the same time. The alignment is achieved by setting the length of the audio frame \( M \) to correspond the video frame rate.

Let \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) be two hypotheses denoting speech absence and presence, respectively. According to the hypotheses, we define a speech indicator as

\[
1_s(i) = \begin{cases} 
1, & i \in H_1 \\
0, & i \in H_0 
\end{cases}
\]

The goal in this study is to estimate \( 1_s(i) \), i.e., to classify each frame as a speech or a non-speech frame.

We consider two audio-visual data sets. A training data set \( \{A_{tr}, V_{tr}\} \) of size \( N_{tr} \) frames, and a test data set \( \{A_{te}, V_{te}\} \) of size \( N_{te} \). Each data set consists of both speech and non-speech intervals which are contaminated with noise and transients and are labeled according to the presence and absence of speech. The training data set is used to construct a low dimensional model of the data in a batch manner, and to train an estimator in a supervised manner, for the speech presence indicator. The test set is used for the evaluation of the proposed algorithm.

## 3.3 Proposed Algorithm

An audio-visual voice activity detection algorithm consisting of three stages is proposed. First, audio and visual feature vectors are extracted. Then, a dimensionality reduction method, based on manifold learning [36], is applied to the extracted feature vectors. This provides a new low dimensional representation of the signals, which is in turn used for the estimation of the speech presence indicator.

Multiple notations for a frame are used throughout this Chapter. Frame \( i \) of the input signal is denoted by \( \{a_i, v_i\} \), the corresponding high dimensional feature vector is denoted by \( \{\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{v}_i\} \), and the low dimensional representation (obtained by manifold
learning) is denoted by \( \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{v}_i\} \).

### 3.3.1 Features Extraction

#### 3.3.1.1 Audio Features

The proposed audio features are based on a spectral representation of speech using MFCCs and the STFT. These features were found to perform well for voice activity detection in challenging conditions, e.g., with a highly non-stationary noise \[16\].

Let \( a_j^{MFCC} \in \mathbb{R}^C \) be a column vector consisting of the MFCCs of frame \( a_j \), where \( C \) is the number of the coefficients. MFCCs are widely used in the field of speech recognition, since they successfully represent the spectrum of speech in a compact form using the perceptually meaningful Mel-frequency scale \[38\]. However, the MFCC representation of a speech frame may be similar to the representation of a non-speech frame comprising highly non-stationary noise. To improve the separation between the signal and the background noise, the MFCCs of each frame are weighted by a scalar which is based on noise estimation in the frame, such that a low value is assigned when only the background noise is present.

Traditionally, the input signal is assumed to contain only speech and stationary noise. Thus, speech and noise are separated assuming that stationary noise components in the STFT domain are slowly varying with respect to speech \[11, 19\]. However, transients vary faster than speech, and hence, they are mistakenly identified as (non-stationary) speech \[15, 20\]. Therefore, the weights which are based on the noise estimation method presented in \[19\], in this case, only separate speech and transients from the stationary (or quasi-stationary) noise. Next, we describe the computation of such weights and explain how to reduce the effect of transients on the frame representation.

Let \( A(j, k) \) be the STFT representation of the audio signal \( a[n] \), where \( k \) is the frequency bin, and \( j \) is the time frame index. Accordingly, the representation of (3.1) in the STFT domain is given by:

\[
A(j, k) = A^s(j, k) + A^d(j, k) + A^t(j, k) \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( A^s(j, k) \), \( A^d(j, k) \) and \( A^t(j, k) \) are the STFTs of \( a^s[n] \), \( a^d[n] \) and \( a^t[n] \), respec-
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tively. The corresponding variances are given by
\[ \lambda_s(j,k) = E[|A_s(j,k)|^2], \]
\[ \lambda_d(j,k) = E[|A_d(j,k)|^2], \]
and \[ \lambda_t(j,k) = E[|A_t(j,k)|^2], \]
where \( E[\cdot] \) denotes an expected value.

Similarly to the hypotheses \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \), let \( H_s \) and \( H_{ns} \) be hypotheses for a stationary signal (background noise) and a non-stationary signal (speech and transients), respectively. The corresponding conditional PDF are given by \( p_r(\cdot; H_s) \) and \( p_r(\cdot; H_{ns}) \), respectively. The log likelihood ratio between the non-stationary signal and the noise in the \( k \)th frequency bin of frame \( j \) is defined by:

\[
\Lambda_j(k) = \log \left( \frac{p_r(A(j,k); H_{ns})}{p_r(A(j,k); H_s)} \right). \tag{3.4}
\]

Let \( \xi(j,k) \) be the a priori Non-Stationary Signal to Noise Ratio (NSSNR), which is given by:

\[
\xi(j,k) = \frac{\lambda_s(j,k) + \lambda_t(j,k)}{\lambda_d(j,k)}, \tag{3.5}
\]
and is estimated according to [11], and let \( \gamma(j,k) \) be the a posteriori NSSNR:

\[
\gamma(j,k) = \frac{|A(j,k)|^2}{\lambda_d(j,k)}. \tag{3.6}
\]

The estimation of both the a priori and the a posteriori NSSNRs is based on the spectral variance of the background noise, \( \lambda_d(j,k) \), which is estimated using the Improved Minima Controlled Recursive Averaging (IMCRA) method [19].

Assuming that the non-stationary signal and noise have a complex uncorrelated Gaussian distribution in the STFT domain (using only speech and stationary noise model) it can be shown that the log likelihood ratio in (3.4) is given by [17], [5]:

\[
\Lambda_j(k) = \left( \frac{\gamma(j,k) \cdot \xi(j,k)}{1 + \xi(j,k)} - \log (1 + \xi(j,k)) \right). \tag{3.7}
\]

Let \( \Lambda_j \) be the arithmetic mean of the log likelihood ratio over all frequency bins of frame \( a_j \). To reduce the dynamical range of \( \Lambda_j \), which is large, since, for example, when the background noise is absent, \( p_r(A(j,k); H_s) \to 0 \) in (3.4), the weight of each frame \( a_j \) is given by normalizing \( \Lambda_j \) as:

\[
w_{\Lambda}(j) = 1 - e^{-\frac{\Lambda_j}{\tau}}, \tag{3.8}
\]
where $\epsilon$ is a normalization parameter. Now, $w_\Lambda(j)$ receives values close to 1 when speech or transients are present and values close to 0 when only background noise is present in the frame.

The audio feature vector $\tilde{a}_i$ of frame $a_i$ is defined by collecting the weighted MFCCs of $2J^A + 1$ adjacent frames:

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{pmatrix}
w_\Lambda(i - J^A) \cdot a_{i - J^A}^{MFCC} \\
w_\Lambda(i - J^A + 1) \cdot a_{i - J^A + 1}^{MFCC} \\
\vdots \\
w_\Lambda(i + J^A) \cdot a_{i + J^A}^{MFCC}
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2J^A + 1) \cdot C}. \quad (3.9)$$

It is worthwhile noting that $\Lambda_j$ was previously used for voice activity detection in [5] and [6]. However, since $\Lambda_j$ and $w_\Lambda(j)$ cannot exclusively indicate speech activity in the presence of transients, $w_\Lambda(j)$ is used in this study as a feature that separates speech and transients from background noise. Transient effects are attenuated by taking into account several consecutive time frames. This reduces the influence of transients on a frame representation since the typical duration of a transient is assumed to be of the order of a single time frame. Thus, for $J^A \geq 1$, the coordinates of $\tilde{a}_i$ in the presence of speech are expected to be more consistent than in the presence of transients. In practice, we assign relatively small values to $J^A$, since large $J^A$ induces a high dimension of features and requires a large number of training samples to construct the low dimensional model.

Recall that the main advantage of the visual signal is its resistance to the acoustic environmental interferences including transients. Thus, to further improve the robustness to transients, we incorporate the visual signal.

### 3.3.1.2 Visual Features

The proposed visual features are based on motion vectors which were previously exploited for voice activity detection in [26] and [27], and are calculated using Lucas-Kanade method [40], [41]. Let $v_i(j, k)$ denote the $(j, k)$th pixel of frame $v_i$, and let $v_i(j, k)$ and $u_i(j, k)$ denote the horizontal and the vertical components of the motion vector (i.e., the velocity) of the corresponding pixel. We form a vector $v_i^{MV} \in \mathbb{R}^{W \cdot H}$ by concatenating the absolute
values of the velocities of each pixel, which are given by $\sqrt{[v_i(j, k)]^2 + [u_i(j, k)]^2}$.

The video signal is characterized both by spatial information, i.e., the shape of the mouth, and by temporal information, i.e., the movement of the mouth. The shape of the mouth indicates on the presence of speech as the pronunciation of most of the phonemes is associated with open mouth \[28\]. However, the shape of the mouth cannot exclusively indicate on the presence of speech since, for example, the mouth can be completely closed in particular speech frames. Thus, temporal information may serve as a complement, i.e., the mouth movement may correctly indicate on the presence of speech. To capture both spatial and temporal information, motion vectors are calculated in a spatio-temporal neighborhood of each pixel in a frame.

Yet, small movements of the mouth may naturally occur during non-speech intervals, thereby wrongly indicating speech presence. To further improve the temporal characterization of speech, we collect $2J^V + 1$ adjacent frames in time, and form the following feature vector $\tilde{v}_i$:

$$\tilde{v}_i = (v_i^{MV}, v_i^{MV}, \ldots, v_{i+J^V})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(2J^V+1)\cdot W\cdot H}.$$ (3.10)

Similarly to the parameter $J^A$ in (3.9), the parameter $J^V$ is set to a small value to confine the dimensions of the video features.

### 3.3.2 Diffusion Maps

Our main assumption is that the signals, which are captured by different types of sensors (microphone and camera), contain a common intrinsic low dimensional geometric structure, which is related to the speech activity. As a result, the high dimensional feature vectors are not spread across their entire space, but rather lie on a manifold of a significantly lower dimension.

In order to capture this low dimensional structure, we use diffusion maps \[36\], which is a manifold learning method, that provides a parameterization of the data on the manifold through the embedding of the high dimensional feature vectors into a low dimensional space. In this study we propose to apply diffusion maps in the domain of the features which are specifically designed to separate speech from non-speech frame. Therefore,
the diffusion maps reveals the manifold of the feature leading to a representation which
naturally separates the speech and the non-speech cluster. In addition, diffusion maps is
implemented by first constructing an empirical model of the manifold of the data using
a training set, and then, the model of the manifold is extended to the test set in a frame
by frame manner.

3.3.2.1 Construction of the empirical model using the training set

The method of diffusion maps is applied similarly and separately to the feature vectors
of each modality. Let \( \tilde{f}_i \) be the feature vector (audio or visual) of the \( i \)th frame and
let \( \tilde{F} = \{ \tilde{f}_i \}_{i=1}^N \) be the corresponding feature set. A pairwise similarity kernel function
\( k(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j) \) between the \( i \)th frame and the \( j \)th frame is defined as:

\[
k(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j) = e^{-\frac{||\tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_j||^2}{\varepsilon}}.
\]

Following this kernel, that defines similarity between two frames according to the \( L_2 \)
distance in the features domain, we construct diffusion maps, described in equation (2.13)-
(2.18) in Chapter 2. The diffusion maps of the \( i \)th training frame are given by:

\[
\hat{f}_i = (\Phi_{i,1}, \Phi_{i,2}, ..., \Phi_{i,K}),
\]

where \( \Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{tr} \times K} \) is the matrix of the \( K \) largest eigenvalues and the corresponding
eigenvectors of the transition probability matrix described in (2.17).

Then, the model of the manifold, which is constructed in a batch manner using the
training set, is extended to new incoming frames. The extension is performed in a frame
by frame manner similarly to the Nyström method [35], [42].

Let \( f_m \) and \( \tilde{f}_m \) be a new incoming test frame and its corresponding feature vector,
respectively, and let \( w \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{tr}} \) be a weighting vector. The \( k \)th entry of the extended
diffusion maps, \( \phi'_k \), is given by:

\[
\phi'_k = w^T \Phi(:,k)
\]

where \( w^T \) is the transpose of the weighting vector and \( \Phi(:,k) \) is the \( k \)th column of \( \Phi \). The
extension may be seen as a weighted nearest neighbor interpolation, where \( w \) consists of
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The interpolation weights. The \( i \)th entry of the weighting vector represents the similarity between the incoming test frame \( f_m \) and the \( i \)th training frame \( f_i \). Thus, the closer the extended frame is to a particular training frame in the features domain, the higher the weight of the diffusion maps entry of the training frame is in the extension. Traditionally, when the Nyström method is used to extend eigenvectors of a matrix, in our case \( M \), \( w(i) \) is given by \( M_{i,m} \). However, due to the normalization applied in (2.13)–(2.16), \( M_{i,m} \) can not be properly calculated in a frame-by-frame manner. Therefore, the “true” interpolation weights are approximated by a Gaussian kernel with the following correction [35]. Let \( k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{tr}} \) be a vector whose \( i \)th entry is given by a Gaussian kernel:

\[
k_i = e^{-\left(\frac{||\tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_m||}{\sigma}\right)^2}
\]  

(3.13)

where \( \sigma \) is the kernel bandwidth. Similarly, let \( K \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{tr} \times N_{tr}} \) be a similarity matrix defined on the training set, whose \((i, j)\)th entry is also given by the Gaussian kernel:

\[
K_{i,j} = e^{-\left(\frac{||k_i - k_j||}{\sigma}\right)^2}
\]

(3.14)

The weighting vector \( w \) is given by:

\[
w = K^{-1}k
\]

(3.15)

where the inverse matrix \( K^{-1} \) is used to correct the Gaussian weights. The weights are designed to provide a consistent extension of the diffusion maps. Namely, by substituting a training frame \( \tilde{f}_j \) instead of the test frame \( \tilde{f}_m \) into (3.14), the interpolation weight is degenerated to the Kronecker delta function, i.e., \( w_i = \delta_{i,j} \), and the extended value coincides with the true value, i.e., \( \phi'_k = \Phi(j,k) \).

Based on equation (3.12), the low dimensional representation of the test frame is given by:

\[
\hat{f}_m = (\phi'_1, \phi'_2, ..., \phi'_K).
\]

(3.16)

This procedure is applied separately to each incoming test frame with a computational cost which is linear with the size of the training set, \( N_{tr} \), making diffusion maps adequate
for real time applications.

### 3.3.3 Estimation of the speech presence indicator

#### 3.3.3.1 Diffusion distance

Let $D(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j)$ denote the diffusion distance between a pair of feature vectors $\tilde{f}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_j$, which is given by [37], [36]:

$$D^2(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{tr}} (M_{i,k} - M_{j,k})^2 \nu_0(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

where $\nu_0$ is the unique stationary distribution of the Markov chain and is given by:

$$\nu_0(j) = \frac{s(\tilde{f}_j)}{\sum_{\tilde{f}_k \in \tilde{F}_{tr}} s(\tilde{f}_k)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

The diffusion distance reflects the connectivity of the nodes (feature vectors) in the graph: Short distances are obtained for highly connected nodes due to high values of transition probabilities between the nodes [36]. The diffusion distance is known to be more robust to noise compared to the Euclidean distance, since it integrates information from many features, whereas the Euclidean distance takes into account only two individual features. In addition, the diffusion distance is unitless as it is calculated through transition probabilities. Therefore, it is suitable for merging data captured in different types of sensors.

When all the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors are used for the construction of diffusion maps in (2.18), i.e., $K = N_{tr}$, the $L_2$ distance in the diffusion maps domain equals the diffusion distance [36], [37]. Yet, even relatively small values of $K$ (the dimension of the diffusion maps) provide an accurate approximation of the diffusion distance due to the fast spectrum decay:

$$D^2(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j) \approx ||\hat{f}_i - \hat{f}_j||^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)

This approximation allows for an efficient computation of the diffusion distance from the embedding of the feature vectors.

The new representation is particularly suitable for estimating the speech presence indicator both because of the specific choice of the feature vectors that characterize speech,
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and because of the properties of the diffusion mapping. The later provides a low dimensional representation that captures the essence of the data and a good distance metric to compare embedded signal samples based on their intrinsic structure.

3.3.3.2 Unimodal estimation of speech presence indicator

Based on the low dimensional representation of the signals, we propose a continuous measure for voice activity, such that the clustering is achieved by comparing the measure to a threshold. This allows to control the tradeoff between false alarms and correct detections and the algorithm may be adjusted to the particular application at hand.

Let \( P(f_i) \) be the measure for voice activity in frame \( f_i \). \( P(f_i) \) comprises two components representing two different aspects of speech presence. The first, denoted by \( P^S(f_i) \), is supervised and relies on the diffusion distance between a test frame and the labeled training frames. The second, denoted by \( P^{US}(f_i) \), is derived using an unsupervised procedure and further exploits the dynamics of the signals.

\( P^S(f_i) \) is computed using a GMM procedure applied in the diffusion maps domain. Let \( p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_1) \) and \( p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_0) \) be the Gaussian mixture PDFs of the speech and the non-speech clusters, respectively, which are estimated using the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm during the training stage \[43\]. Given a test frame \( f_i \), a bounded likelihood ratio between the conditional densities is calculated:

\[
\Gamma_i = \min \left( \frac{p_r(\hat{f}_i; \mathcal{H}_1)}{p_r(\hat{f}_i; \mathcal{H}_0)}, \Gamma_{\text{max}} \right), \tag{3.20}
\]

where \( \Gamma_{\text{max}} \) is a constant value which is empirically set to confine the dynamical range of the likelihood ratio. The closer \( \hat{f}_i \) is to the speech training cluster, the higher \( \Gamma_i \) level is.

The supervised measure for voice activity \( P^S \) is defined by:

\[
P^S(f_i) = \frac{1}{(2L^S + 1) \cdot \Gamma_{\text{max}}} \sum_{r=-L^S}^{L^S} \Gamma_{i+r}, \tag{3.21}
\]

Recall that in (3.9) and in (3.10), the use of the temporal neighborhood to characterize speech was limited to keep reasonable values of the dimensions of the features. In (3.21), the temporal neighborhood is exploited without these limitations, and \( \Gamma_i \) is averaged
over \((2L^S + 1)\) consecutive frames to smooth the measure of voice activity and improve the estimation of speech presence indicator. For example in non-speech intervals, short term interruptions such as transients may provide instantaneous high values of \(\Gamma_i\), yet, smoothing the measure over the temporal neighborhood provides correct low levels of voice activity.

The unsupervised activity measure \(P^{US}\) exploits the variability between consecutive frames in the test set in terms of diffusion distance and is defined by:

\[
P^{US}(f_i) = \min \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L^{US}} D(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_{i-l}), \sum_{l=1}^{L^{US}} D(\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_{i+l}) \right),
\]

where \(D_{\text{max}}\) is the maximal diffusion distance between a pair of frames in the training set, and \(L^{US} \cdot D_{\text{max}}\) is a normalization factor, which keeps \(P^{US}\) values (given a large enough training set) in the range of \([0, 1]\). In speech absence periods, audio frames tend to be similar to their adjacent frames as background noise varies slower than speech. A similar property is observed in video frames, as a slower mouth movement is assumed in speech absence periods compared to periods when speech is present. Accordingly, \(P^{US}\) is expected to provide lower levels of voice activity when speech is absent compared to when it is present, for both modalities. According to (3.22) the variability of the frames is measured in two non-overlapping windows: a causal window and an anti-causal window, both of size \(L^{US}\). The min function is used to reduce false detection at the beginning and at the end of speech intervals. For example, correct low values of activity are received right after the end of a speech interval due to low values of variability in the anti-causal window despite high levels of variability in the causal window. Speech presence estimation based on \(P^{US}\) is viewed as an unsupervised procedure since the training data is used only for the construction of diffusion maps without its labeling.

The integrated activity measure of frame \(f_i\) is given by:

\[
P(f_i) = \min \left( P^S(f_i), P^{US}(f_i) \right).
\]

The min function is used to reduce false high activity levels of \(P^S\) or \(P^{US}\). For exam-
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ple, highly non-stationary noise such as “babble” noise induces high variability between consecutive time frames in non-speech periods, which may be resulted in false high levels of $P^U$. Yet, successful distinction of this type of noise in the diffusion domain provides accurate $P^S$. Hence, in such cases, the min function may improve the estimation of the speech presence indicator.

### 3.3.3.3 Bimodal estimation of speech presence indicator

Let $P(a_i)$ and $P(v_i)$ be the measures of voice activity from the audio and video signals, respectively. We compute the bimodal activity $P^B(a_i, v_i)$ according to:

$$P^B(a_i, v_i) = \alpha P(a_i) + (1 - \alpha) P(v_i) \quad (3.24)$$

where $\alpha$ is in the range of $[0, 1]$ and controls the given weight to the two modalities. The setting of this parameter is application dependent. When the audio signal is relatively clean, $\alpha$ should be set close to 1. To quantify the quality of the audio signal, the estimate of the SNR in the audio signal may be used to adjust $\alpha$ over time. Further adaption of $\alpha$ may prevent failure of the algorithm in challenging real scenarios. For example, $\alpha$ may be set to 1 for frames where a speaker moves his head out of the frame, thereby making the video signal irrelevant.

In this work, for simplicity we set $\alpha = 0.5$. Combining the modalities this way was derived in [44] through a Bayesian model under restrictive assumptions that the modalities are statistically independent and that a posteriori probability of each modality remains close to the priors. Nevertheless, it was empirically found to outperform other fixed functions for combining the two modalities (such as a product, minimum, maximum, and median) due to better resistance to estimation errors. This simple combination empirically showed good results as illustrated in Section 3.4. Adaptive setting of $\alpha$ will be addressed in a future work.

A different approach for combining the modalities can be achieved by concatenating the diffusion maps of each modality into a single super-vector [37], [35]. As a result, the speech presence indicator can be estimated in a unified diffusion maps domain, and $P(\cdot)$ in (3.23) represents a bimodal measure for speech presence. We will show in Section 3.4
that this approach provides inferior results with respect to the proposed algorithm.

The proposed measure of voice activity $P^B(a_i, v_i)$ gets values in the range of $[0, 1]$, and hence, it can be viewed as a generalized a posteriori probability for speech. Finally, the estimate of the speech presence indicator is computed by comparing $P^B(a_i, v_i)$ to a threshold $\tau$:

$$\hat{I}_s(i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & ; P^B(a_i, v_i) > \tau \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(3.25)

Future frames which are used in (3.9), (3.10), (3.21) and (3.22) induce a lag in online processing. The number of lagged frames, $N^{lag}$, is given by:

$$N^{lag} = \max(J^A, J^V) + \max(L^S, L^{US})$$

(3.26)

The effect of the lag on real time processing is discussed in Section 3.4.

Algorithm 1 summarizes the proposed VAD. For simplicity, the algorithm is presented under the assumption that $N^{lag}$ future frames are available.

### 3.4 Experimental Results

#### 3.4.1 Experimental setup

The experimental setup simulates a video call made from a smartphone. The data set comprises 11 speakers reading aloud an article. During the experiments, the speakers make natural pauses every few sentences. As a results, the typical lengths of the recorded speech and non-speech intervals range from 100 – 300 ms to 2 – 3 s.

The video is recorded using a frontal camera of a smartphone (Samsung I9100, $\sim$ 25 fps, 640 x 480 resolution), providing front side videos of the speakers. The video is converted to gray scale to reduce the computational load. A bounding box of the mouth (110 x 90 pixels) is cropped out of the videos.

Although cropping the bounding box of the mouth extends the scope of this work, we
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shortly explain the procedure performed in our experiments as a preprocessing stage. The cropping is based on nostrils tracking, which are manually marked in the first frame, and are then searched in the following frames in a small area around their previous location. The search is performed under the assumption that the pixels, where the nostrils are located, have lower intensity values relatively to skin and lips pixels due to shading. Such a nostrils tracking method was previously explored in [45].

The bounding box of the mouth is downsampled by a factor of 10 to reduce the computational load in the calculation of the motion vectors, and $W$ and $H$ are set to 11 and 9 (all the used parameters values are presented in Table 3.1). An example of a speech frame image and an illustration of the corresponding motion vectors are presented in Fig. 3.1, demonstrating that motion vectors capture the shape of the mouth and its movement with respect to the previous frame.

The audio is recorded by the microphone of the smartphone and is processed in 8 [kHz] (higher processing rates have shown no advantage). The recordings are performed in a quiet room (estimated audio SNR of $\sim 25$ dB) and are regarded as a clean audio signal. The audio signal is processed using short time frames of length $M = 634$ with 50% overlap. Such a configuration aligns the rates of the audio and video signals.

The training data set is created by collecting 30 s long data sequences of 6 speakers (the total training data set is 180 s, 4542 frames). We empirically find that a 180 [sec] long signal both contains sufficient amount of training data and the eigenvalue decomposition
Table 3.1: Algorithm Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio features</td>
<td>Frame length</td>
<td>( M = 634 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normalization parameter</td>
<td>( \epsilon = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of MFCCs</td>
<td>( C = 24 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporal characterization</td>
<td>( J_A = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video features</td>
<td>Cropped frame size</td>
<td>( W = 11 ), ( H = 9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporal characterization</td>
<td>( J_V = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion maps</td>
<td>Extension kernel bandwidth</td>
<td>( \sigma = 50 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of eigenvalues</td>
<td>( K = 4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation</td>
<td>Number of Gaussians for ( \mathcal{H}_1 )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Gaussians for ( \mathcal{H}_0 )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. likelihood ratio value</td>
<td>( \Gamma_{\text{max}} = 100 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time window in ( P^S )</td>
<td>( L^S = 9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time window in ( P^{US} )</td>
<td>( L^{US} = 9 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

can be efficiently applied to \( M \) (using Intel® Core™ i5-2500 CPU and 4 GB RAM). To make the calculation more efficient, \( M \) may be processed in blocks similarly to [16].

The algorithm is trained for challenging acoustic environments: various background noise types, which include white Gaussian noise, musical noise, and babble noise, and various transient interferences, such as metronome, keyboard typing, and hammering, taken from [46], are added to the training audio signal of each speaker. The algorithm is trained for 0 and 5 dB SNR values, and the transients are normalized to have maximal amplitude twice larger than the maximal amplitude of speech. The training data of each speaker contains all possible combinations of background noise and transients. This training setup extends the setup in [16], where only a single background noise and a single transient type were used for the training in each experiment.

The algorithm is tested using 60 s long data sequences of each of the 11 speakers. To prevent over fitting, for each tested speaker the algorithm is retrained with training data which do not contain the tested speaker.

### 3.4.2 Qualitative evaluation

The 20 largest eigenvalues of the audio and video sets, \( \{\lambda_k\} \) in [2.17], are plotted in a decreasing order in Fig. 3.2 demonstrating fast decay of the spectrum. A spectral gap can be seen between the fourth and fifth eigenvalues for the audio signal. This gap,
heuristically implies that the intrinsic dimension of the signal is 4 \[47\]. Consequently, we set the dimension of diffusion maps to \( K = 4 \) in (2.18) for each modality. The choice of 8 parameters (4 for each modality) for representing a frame yields a significant dimensionality reduction of the data, and hence, allows for low computational complexity of the estimation procedure. In addition, these parameters capture just the essence of the data without noise and other nuisance factors, thereby allowing accurate detection of voice activity. The difference in the visual data representation from most of the previous studies, such as \[28\] and \[29\], is that in this work the parameters representing the mouth movement are obtained implicitly in a data-driven manner and are not defined in advance. The measures of voice activity \( P^S \) in (3.21) and \( P^{US} \) in (3.22) are calculated using \( L^S = L^{US} = 9 \) past and future frames. This configuration induces a lag of \( N^{\text{lag}} = 10 \) frames in (3.26), which is \( \sim 400 \text{ ms} \) for \( \sim 25 \text{ fps} \) frame rate. We empirically found that lower values of lag may be set at the expense of a small degradation of the performance.

An example of the obtained voice activity detection is shown in Fig. 3.3. The input signal (black solid line) in this example is contaminated with a 10 dB babble noise and keyboard typing transients. We observe an accurate speech presence indicator estimation when compared to the marked ground truth. We note that in this example, the threshold \( \tau \) in (3.25) is empirically chosen to provide best estimation results. Although it is not in the scope of this work, in practice, the threshold may be set using the training data by evaluating the performance of the algorithm using a validation set.
Figure 3.3: Qualitative assessment of AVVAD, with babble noise with 10 dB SNR and keyboard typing transient interferences. (a) Input signal - black solid line, ground truth - red stars graph, speech presence estimation using the proposed method - blue squares graph, the locations of the transients - green rings graph. (b) A spectrogram of the input signal.

3.4.3 Performance evaluation measure

For voice activity detection, the ground truth may be application dependent. For example, in speech recognition applications, isolated phonemes may be useful, and hence, the voice detection should ideally have a fine resolution in the order of few tens of milliseconds. On the other hand, in videoconferencing systems, where a central processing unit switches between cameras according to a dominant speaker, frequent switching between speakers should be avoided, and hence, coarser voice activity detection is required.

In addition, the ground truth depends on the modality (audio or video). Speech onsets, for example, may be accompanied with air aspiration, which is helpful for visual speech
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perception, and therefore, is considered as speech for the video signal but not for the audio signal. Another example is voiced phonemes. While the ends of voice phonemes are audible, they are not visual due to the lack of a mouth movement.

Therefore, we extend the definition of the speech indicator in (3.2). Similarly to the hypotheses \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \), let \( H^a_0 \) and \( H^a_1 \) be the hypotheses for speech absence and speech presence in the audio signal, respectively. Accordingly, let \( I_s(a_i) \) be an audio speech indicator, given by:

\[
I_s(a_i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & a_i \in H^a_1 \\
0 & a_i \in H^a_0 
\end{cases}
\]  (3.27)

The audio speech indicator is manually marked using a spectrogram of a clean speech signal with a resolution of 100 [msec]. Similarly, let \( H^v_0 \) and \( H^v_1 \) be the hypotheses for speech absence and speech presence in the video signal, respectively. Accordingly, let \( I_s(v_i) \) be a video speech indicator given by:

\[
I_s(v_i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & v_i \in H^v_1 \\
0 & v_i \in H^v_0 
\end{cases}
\]  (3.28)

The video speech indicator is manually marked as speech present when mouth moves during speech intervals (a natural mouth movement when speech is absent is neglected). The unified speech indicator \( I_s(i) \) which is defined in (3.2) is given by:

\[
I_s(i) = I_s(a_i) \lor I_s(v_i) \]  (3.29)

where \( \lor \) is an “or” function. This setting may be adequate for applications such as audio-visual speech coding, where speech should be counted for each one of the sensors.

The quantitative performance is evaluated in three experiments. In the first and second experiments, unimodal versions of the proposed algorithm are compared to the state of the art and recently presented VADs. To evaluate the performance of the unimodal versions, \( P^B(a_i, v_i) \) in (3.24) is replaced with the single modality activity measure \( P \) defined in (3.23): \( P(a_i) \) for audio and \( P(v_i) \) for video. For these experiments, the ground truth is given by (3.27) and (3.28) for evaluation based on only the audio signal and only the video signal, respectively. In the third experiment, the proposed AVVAD is compared to
the single modality versions using the audio-visual ground truth given by (3.29).

3.4.4 VAD evaluation

The performance of the proposed algorithm based on the audio signal is compared to the methods presented in [5] and [6]. Similarly to the proposed algorithm, in the algorithm presented in [6], the likelihood ratio is calculated in past and future frames. This allows for activity level smoothing and is more adequate for the ground truth setting in this work. However, in the VAD presented in [5], the likelihood ratio is calculated for a single frame, which makes the detection less adequate to this application. To make a fair comparison, we smooth the VAD in [5] with a median filter of length 11, which significantly improves its performance.

The proposed algorithm based on the video is compared to the method presented in [28]. Unlike the proposed algorithm, the VAD presented in [28] is not designed to perform in real time, as the parameters of the noise statistics in [28] are estimated in a batch manner. For simplicity of the implementation, these parameters are estimated using the ground truth of the test data set. We remark that estimation using the training set was also performed as suggested in [27]. Although it allows real time processing, our experiments show significant degradation of the performance of the competing algorithm, and hence, these results are not presented in the figures. In addition, we also evaluated the algorithm presented in [29]. We found that the procedure that separates the lips from the skin performed poorly on some of the videos in our data set, probably due to the lightening conditions. As a result, the overall performance of the algorithm was not comparable to the other two algorithms, and hence, is not presented in the figures.

Figures 3.4–3.8 present the obtained Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves, which are generated by spanning the threshold over all possible activity values. The maximal performance of each method, in terms of the number of correct decissions, is given in percents in the legend box of each figure and is obtained using the threshold which provides the best results.

In Figs. 3.4 and 3.5 we present the results of the evaluation of the algorithms based on the audio signal, where the curves marked by “Sohn” and “Ramirez” relate to the methods presented in [5] and [6], respectively. In Fig. 3.4 the algorithm is tested for babble noise
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Figure 3.4: Audio algorithms. Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm. Test for babble noise with 5 dB SNR and keyboard typing transient interferences.

Figure 3.5: Audio algorithms. Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm. Test for musical noise with 0 dB SNR and scissors transient interferences with 5 dB SNR and keyboard typing transients and in Fig. 3.5 for musical noise with 0 dB SNR and scissors transients. It can be seen in both figures that the proposed algorithm outperforms the competing audio VADs for all possible values of false alarm rates. We emphasize that the presented results of the proposed algorithm are achieved with a single training set consisting of all types of background noises and transients. Namely, the type of the tested background noise and transient are not known in advance.

The results of the algorithms based on the video signal are presented in Fig. 3.6 where the curve marked by “Siatras” relates to the method presented in [28]. It can be seen that the proposed algorithm outperforms the VAD in [28] for all possible values of false
alarm rates. In addition, a high slope of the ROC curve of the proposed VAD is observed for low false alarm rates, thereby providing fast convergence to high detection rates.

In the third experiment, we evaluate the performance of the proposed AVVAD and compare it to the performance of the algorithm using single modalities. In addition, we evaluate the performance of an audio-visual merging approach which was exploited in [35] for speech recognition. In this approach, the diffusion maps of each modality are concatenated into a single super-vector, and is marked by “SV AV” in the plots.

The performances of the algorithms are presented in Figs. 3.7 and 3.8. In Fig. 3.7, the algorithms are tested for babble noise with 15 dB SNR and scissors transients, and in Fig. 3.8, the algorithms are tested for white Gaussian noise with 10 dB SNR and metronome transients. It can be seen that the merging scheme presented in [35] does not benefit from the combination of the modalities, and in most cases provides inferior performance with respect to the unimodal versions. This merging scheme is less adequate for merging data in a noisy environment since interruptions from each one of the modalities may wrongly lead to high levels of the bimodal voice activity measure. An example of incorrect classification is mouth movement during non-speech intervals. In this case, high diffusion distance from the non-speech cluster may be achieved due to the movement of the mouth, incorrectly providing high value of the voice activity measure. In contrast, the proposed bimodal algorithm performs better than each of the single modality versions. Moreover, it can be seen in both figures that the audio and the video versions may complement each other.
3.5. **CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented an algorithm for audio-visual voice activity detection. The algorithm is based on a low dimension representation of the audio and the video signals which is

**Figure 3.7:** Audio, video and audio-visual algorithms. Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm. Test for babble noise with 15 dB SNR and scissors transient interferences.

**Figure 3.8:** Audio, video and audio-visual algorithms. Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm. Test for white Gaussian noise with 10 dB SNR and metronome transient interferences.

While the audio version better performs for low values of false alarm, the video version is better for the high values. The proposed bimodal algorithm embodies the advantages of each of the modalities, and provides best performance for each false alarm value.

### 3.5 Conclusions

We have presented an algorithm for audio-visual voice activity detection. The algorithm is based on a low dimension representation of the audio and the video signals which is
constructed by applying diffusion mapping to features which are specifically designed to separate speech from non-speech frames. This representation of the signals is robust to noise, and facilitates a measure for voice activity that takes into account both training labeled data as well as the temporal variability of the signals. In addition, since diffusion maps are unitless, the low dimensional representation is particularly suitable for processing data captured from different types of sensors. Experimental results have demonstrated that the proposed VAD based only on the audio or the video signal outperforms state-of-the-art VADs. In addition, it has been shown that the proposed algorithm based on both the audio and the video outperforms each of the unimodal VADs and provides accurate voice activity detection in adverse noisy environments.
Algorithm 3.1 Audio-visual voice activity detection

```plaintext
procedure Training
  Input: training data- \{a_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{tr}}
  Output: diffusion maps- \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{v}_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{tr}}; estimate of the PDFs, 
  \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_0)\) and \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_1)\), for the GMM
  for \(j = 1 : N_{tr}\)
  Calculate the feature vectors \(\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{v}_j\)
  end for
  Do for each modality separately:
  Calculate the transition probability matrix \(M\) using (2.12)-(2.16)
  Apply eigenvalue decomposition on \(M\) and obtain the 
eigenvalues \(\{\lambda_k\}\) and the eigenvectors \(\{\phi_k\}\)
  Build diffusion maps \(\hat{f}_j, j \in [1, 2, ..., N_{tr}]\) 
  (\(\hat{a}_j\) for audio and \(\hat{v}_j\) for video) using (2.17) and (2.18)
  Train the GMM using the labeling and estimate \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_0)\) and \(p_r(\cdot; \mathcal{H}_1)\)
end procedure

procedure Test
  Input: test data- \{a_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{te}}
  Output: speech presence indicator estimate- \{\hat{1}_s(i)\}_{i=1}^{N_{te}}
  * Get a new frame \(a_i, v_i\)
  Calculate the feature vectors \(\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{v}_i\)
  Extend the diffusion maps \(\hat{a}_i, \hat{v}_i\) using (3.12) and (3.16)
  Do for each modality separately:
  Calculate the first voice activity measure \(P_S(f_i)\) using the PDFs 
  of the GMM according to (3.20) and (3.21)
  Calculate the second voice activity measure \(P_{US}(f_i)\) according to (3.22)
  Integrate the measures: 
  \(P(f_i) = \min(P_S(f_i), P_{US}(f_i))\)
  Merge the modalities: 
  \(P^B(a_i, v_i) = \alpha P(a_i) + (1 - \alpha)P(v_i)\)
  if \(P^B(a_i, v_i) > \tau\)
  Decide \(\mathcal{H}_1\)
  else
  Decide \(\mathcal{H}_0\)
  end if
  Go back to *
end procedure
```
Chapter 4

Audio VAD Using The Scattering Transform

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we present a supervised learning algorithm for voice activity detection in the presence of highly non-stationary noise and transients. To train the algorithm, we consider a labeled training data set of speech signals contaminated with noise and transients. The algorithm is based on the representation of the noisy signal by features which are based on the scattering transform \[1, 39, 48\], include noise estimation, and are specifically designed to separate speech and non-speech frames. For voice activity detection, we propose a continuous measure which is constructed in the features domain, and rely on the SVM classifier. The algorithm is evaluated for different types of background noises and transients, and experimental results demonstrate improved voice activity detection compared to state-of-the-art methods. We remark that following \[1\], we present this chapter in the continuous domain.

The remainder of the Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 4.2 we formulate the problem. The proposed algorithm is described in Section 4.3. Experimental results demonstrating the performance of the algorithm are presented in Section 4.4.
4.2 Problem Formulation

Let \( y(\tau) \) denote a speech signal contaminated with additive background noise and an additive transient interference, given by:

\[
y(\tau) = x(\tau) + d(\tau) + z(\tau)
\]  

(4.1)

where \( x(\tau), d(\tau) \) and \( z(\tau) \) are speech, the background noise and the transient interference, respectively. The signal is processed in overlapping time frames of length \( T \), such that time frame \( t \) is denoted by \( y_t \) and is given by \( y(\tau); \tau \in [t - T/2, t + T/2] \). Let \( 1_s(t) \) denote a speech indicator of frame \( t \), given by:

\[
1_s(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & t \in \mathcal{H}_1 \\
0 & t \in \mathcal{H}_0 
\end{cases}
\]  

(4.2)

where \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) are two hypotheses denoting speech presence and absence, respectively. The goal in this study is to estimate the speech indicator in (4.2) for each frame. The algorithm is based on a supervised learning procedure, and we consider a training data set which consists of speech signals contaminated with noise and transients, and is labeled according to the speech absence and presence hypotheses.

4.3 Proposed Algorithm

4.3.1 The Features

The proposed features are based on the scattering transform which is a cascade of wavelet convolutions and modulus operators \[1,48\]. Let a wavelet \( \psi(\tau) \) be a band pass filter with a central frequency normalized to 1, and let \( \{\psi_\lambda(\tau)\}_\lambda \) be a wavelet filter bank, which is constructed by dilating the wavelet:

\[
\psi_\lambda(\tau) = \lambda \psi(\lambda \tau),
\]  

(4.3)
where $\lambda = 2^{i/Q}, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q$ is the number of wavelets per octave. The bandwidth of the wavelet $\psi(\tau)$ is of the order of $1/Q$, and as a result, the filter bank is composed of bandpass filters which are centered in the frequency domain in $\lambda$ and have a frequency bandwidth $\lambda/Q$, i.e., they are logarithmically spaced in the frequency domain. The first and the second orders of the scattering transform are denoted by, $S_1(\tau, \lambda_1)$ and $S_2(\tau, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, respectively, and are given by:

$$S_1(\tau, \lambda_1) = |y \ast \psi_{\lambda_1}| \ast \phi(\tau), \tag{4.4}$$

and:

$$S_2(\tau, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = ||y \ast \psi_{\lambda_1} \ast \psi_{\lambda_2}| \ast \phi(\tau), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\phi(\tau)$ is a low pass filter with a frequency bandwidth $2\pi/T$. A scattering vector of frame $t$ is denoted by $y_t^S$ and is given by concatenating the first and the second order of the scattering transform calculated at time $t$ for each filter.

The scattering transform is invariant to time shifts and is stable to time-warping due to the logarithmically spaced filter bank [48], making it useful for classification (see more details in [1, 48]). These properties are also held for the Mel-Frequency Spectral Coefficients (MFSC) obtained by averaging the signal in the STFT domain with Mel-scale filters which are also logarithmically spaced in the frequency domain for high frequencies [1]. In addition, it is shown in [1] that the MFSCs are similar to the coefficients of the first order of the scattering transform. The MFCCs are given by applying a cosine transform on the log of the MFSCs, they are widely used in speech recognition [38], and were recently exploited for voice activity detection in [16]. However, the averaging in the frequency domain in the construction of the MFSCs and MFCCs removes information over small time scales. Similarly, the convolution with the low pass filter in (4.4) causes loss of information. In particular, the representation of transients which are usually short in time, may be similar to the representation of speech, and may lead to false voice activity detection in the presence of transients. The second order of the scattering transform recovers the lost information using a new set of wavelet filters and the modulus operator [1, 48]. Therefore, representation of signals using the first and the second orders of the scattering transform extends the MFCC representation and better separates between
speech and transients.

Yet, non-speech frames which are contaminated with background noise may be similar to speech frames. In order to improve the separation between speech and noise frames, the scattering vector $y_t^S$ is weighted with a scalar which incorporates noise estimation in the STFT domain \[16\]. Let $Y(t, \omega)$ be the STFT of $y(\tau)$, and let $p_r(Y(t, \omega); \mathcal{H}_0)$ and $p_r(Y(t, \omega); \mathcal{H}_1)$ be PDF of the noisy signal conditioned on the hypotheses $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$, respectively. The log of the likelihood ratio between the conditional PDFs is given by:

$$\Lambda_t(\omega) = \log \left( \frac{p_r(Y(t, \omega); \mathcal{H}_1)}{p_r(Y(t, \omega); \mathcal{H}_0)} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

$\Lambda_t$ is a scalar obtained by averaging the log of the likelihood ratio in (4.6) over the frequency scale, and is used to weight the features. The weight of frame $t$ is denoted by $w_t$ and is given by:

$$w_t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\Lambda_t}{\epsilon}}$$

where $\epsilon$ is a normalization parameter. Accordingly, the feature vector of frame $t$ is given by:

$$y_t = w_t y_t^S.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

In frames which contain only background noise, $\Lambda_t$ receives low values since $p_r(Y(t, \omega); \mathcal{H}_1) \to 0$ in (4.6), and $\epsilon$ is set such that $w_t$ receives values close to 0. In speech frames, $\Lambda_t$ in (4.6) receives high values, and $w_t$ receives values close to 1. $\Lambda_t$ is estimated according to \[5\] and incorporates noise estimation procedure which is based on the assumption that noise is (quasi) stationary and is slowly varying with respect to speech \[17, 19\]. Since transients are highly non-stationary signals and are varying faster than speech, high values of $\Lambda_t$ are obtained also in presence of transients. As a result, $w_t$ receives values close to 1 both in the presence of speech and transients, and is used in this work to separate noise from the non-stationary part of the signal, i.e., speech and transients. Therefore, the proposed features allows for the separation of speech from noise using the weighting scalar and from transients, using the second order of the scattering transform.
4.3. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

4.3.2 Voice Activity Detection

We base the estimation scheme on the SVM procedure. Originally, this procedure provides a binary classification of feature vectors according to their position with respect to a hyperplane, which is optimized using the labeled training data to maximize inter class separation. In this study, we propose a continuous measure for voice activity which is based on the distance of the tested features to the hyperplane such that the classification is given by comparing the measure to a threshold. The advantage of a continuous measure over a binary classification is that the threshold value, which controls the tradeoff between false alarm and correct detection rates, may be adjusted to a specific application. Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ be the normal vector (not necessarily normalized) to the hyperplane, and let $b$ be a parameter such that $b/||\mathbf{n}||$ is the offset of the hyperplane from the origin, where $|| \cdot ||$ is the $L_2$ norm. The distance of a tested feature vector $\mathbf{y}_t$ from the hyperplane, denoted by $L_t$, is given by:

$$L_t = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{n} \rangle + b}{||\mathbf{n}||}. $$

Note that for simplicity, we relate to a linear SVM, while the extension to a kernel SVM is straightforward. In a binary classification, $\mathbf{y}_t$ is classified according to the sign of $L_t$ such that $\mathbf{y}_t$ is considered as a speech frame if (say) $L_t > 0$ and as a non-speech frame otherwise. In this work we propose a continuous measure for voice activity which exploits the dynamical range of $L_t$ rather than its sign, and in particular, we assume that large values of $L_t$ indicate on high probability of voice activity in frame $t$. To define the voice activity measure, we first reduce the dynamical range of $L_t$ by applying a soft threshold. The distance with a reduced dynamical range is denoted by $\hat{L}_t$ and is given by:

$$\hat{L}_t = \begin{cases} L_{\min} & ; L_t < L_{\min} \\ L_t & ; L_{\min} < L_t < L_{\max} \\ L_{\max} & ; L_t > L_{\max} \end{cases},$$

(4.8)

where $L_{\min}$ and $L_{\max}$ are constant distances from the hyperplane such that beyond them speech is assumed to be absent and present, respectively. $L_{\min}$ and $L_{\max}$ are empirically set to be half of the maximal negative and positive distances from the hyperplane in the training set, respectively. Then, $\hat{L}_t$ is normalized to provide values in the range of $0 \div 1,$
and the normalized distance, denoted by $\tilde{L}_t$, is given by:

$$
\tilde{L}_t = \frac{\dot{L}_t}{L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}}}
$$

(4.9)

The voice activity measure, denoted by $P_t$, is given by averaging $\tilde{L}_t$ over $2J+1$ temporally neighboring frames:

$$
P_t = \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{j=t-JT}^{t+JT} \tilde{L}_j,
$$

(4.10)

where $J$ is a non-negative parameter that defines the temporal neighborhood. The value of $P_t$ is in the range of $0 \div 1$, and the higher $P_t$ the higher the probability for speech presence in frame $t$. By taking into account several consecutive frames in (4.10), the effect of transients on the voice activity measure is attenuated since their length is assumed to be of the order of a single frame. The speech presence indicator defined in (4.2) is estimated by comparing the speech presence measure $P_t$ to a threshold $\alpha$ such that the estimated indicator, denoted by $\hat{1}_s(t)$, is given by:

$$
\hat{1}_s(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } P_t > \alpha \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

(4.11)

### 4.4 Experimental Results

In this section we evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm and compare it to the methods presented in [5], [6] and [16], which are called “Sohn”, “Ramirez” and “Mousazadeh” in the plots, respectively. In addition, we compare the proposed algorithm to the audio version of the VAD presented in Chapter 3 which is called “Aud DM” in the plots. The algorithm is evaluated for different types of background noises, including white Gaussian noise, colored Gaussian noise and babble noise, and different types of transients e.g., door knocks and keyboard taps. The SNR is defined as the ratio between the speech energy and the background noise energy such that the latter is calculated in frames where speech is present. The transients are normalized to have the same maximal amplitude as speech. This is a common setup rather than defining a signal to transient ratio due to the short duration of the transients [16].
The simulated signals are sampled at 16 kHz and are processed in consecutive time frames of length $T = 32$ ms (512 samples) with 50% overlap. The speech utterances used in the experiments are taken from TIMIT database \cite{49}. The training set is composed of 20 speech utterances, and the test set, is composed of different 30 speech utterances. Each utterance is approximately of 9 s long and following the experimental setup in \cite{16} is composed of three parts. The first part contains speech and background noise (without transients), the second part contains background noise and transients (without speech) and the third part contains the all three signals: speech, background noise and transients.

For the implementation of the proposed algorithm, we use the scattering transform library available in \cite{50}. We exploit the Morlet wavelet similarly to \cite{1} and set the quality factor to a small value $Q = 1$ for both the first and the second orders of the transform. Note that this choice of the quality factor $Q$ provide filters with a small time support and they better characterize transients which are assumed to be of a short length. In addition, we use filters with a central frequency $\lambda > 2\pi/T$ such that the filter bank $\{\psi_\lambda\}_{\lambda}$ adequately covers the frequency axis. The number of the coefficients of a single frame for this setting is 9 and 36 for the first and the second orders of the scattering transform, respectively. The normalization parameter in (4.7) is set to $\epsilon = 3$, as was proposed in \cite{16}. For the voice activity measure, the hyperplane of the SVM is optimized using standard MATLAB software using a Gaussian kernel with a variance $\sigma^2 = 1$ and the soft margin parameter is set to 1. We remark that these parameters are set to the default values of the software, they may be further optimized using a validation set to improve the classification results, and their optimization is not in the scope of this study. In addition, we empirically set the smoothing parameter in (4.10) to $J = 2$, which induce a lag of 32 ms.

Both for training the algorithm and for evaluating its performance on the test set, a ground truth is set according to the clean speech signal. A frame is considered as a speech frame if the energy of the clean signal in the frame is above a certain threshold $\tilde{\alpha}$. Namely, the speech indicator defined in (4.2) is given by:

$$
\mathbb{1}_s(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & ; \|x_t\|^2 > \tilde{\alpha} \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

(4.12)
where \( x_t \) is the clean speech signal in frame \( t \). The threshold \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is set as the maximal threshold such that thresholding the speech signal has negligible auditory effect [16]. This setting of the ground truth is adequate for applications, e.g., speech recognition, where the detection of voice have a fine resolution. In this context we note that the algorithm presented in Chapter 3 is designed to operate in applications where voice is detected with lower resolution, e.g., for dominant speaker identification [3], where frequent switching between speech and non-speech hypotheses should be avoided. Therefore, it is adapted for the current setting of the ground truth by averaging the activity measures \( P^S \) in (3.21) and \( P^{US} \) in 3.22 over shorter time periods, i.e., by setting \( L^S = L^{US} = 2 \) in (3.21) and 3.22.

The performance of the algorithms is evaluated in the form of ROC curves, i.e., plots of probability of detection versus the probability of false alarms. The ROC curves are generated by sweeping the threshold over all possible values of the voice activity measure \( P_t \) in (4.10). We use two types of probabilities of false alarm as in [16]. The first is denoted by \( P_{fa} \) and is defined as the probability that a non-speech frame (which may contain a transient or may not) is detected as a speech frame. The second is denoted by \( P_{fatr} \) and is defined as the probability that a non-speech frame that contains a transient is wrongly detected as a speech frame. Namely, \( P_{fa} \) allows for evaluating the general performance of the algorithms, while \( P_{fatr} \) provides an insight on the performance of the algorithms in frames where transients are present. Note that the ground truth for the transients which is used for the evaluation of \( P_{fatr} \) is set in a similar way to the speech presence ground truth in (4.12). In addition, we evaluate the performance of the algorithm in terms of the Area Under the Curve (AUC) score, which is a scalar measure given by integrating the probability of detection over all values of false alarms. The AUC score of each method is given in percents in the legend box of each plot, and the higher the AUC the better the performance of the algorithm.

The experimental results are presented in Figures 4.1 to 4.3. It can be seen that both the proposed method, the audio version of the algorithm presented in Chapter 3 and the method presented in [16], which are specifically designed to perform in a highly non-stationary acoustic environment, significantly outperform the methods presented in [5] and [6]. Although, the audio version of the VAD presented in Chapter 3 is designed to
operate in applications where frequent switching between speech and non-speech hypotheses should be avoided, it still performs well also in voice activity detection in fine time scales, as in the experiments in this chapter. The proposed method, which is based on the scattering transform, provides improved results comparing to the competing methods, and in particular provides higher classification results in the presence of transients as demonstrated by the plots with the second type of false alarm $P_{\text{fatr}}$.

![Graph](image1.png)

**Figure 4.1:** (a) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm ($P_{\text{fa}}$), and (b) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm in transient frames ($P_{\text{fatr}}$). Test for a Gaussian noise with 0 dB SNR and keyboard typing transients.
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**Figure 4.2:** (a) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm ($P_{\text{fa}}$), and (b) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm in transient frames ($P_{\text{fatr}}$). Test for a colored Gaussian noise with 0 dB SNR and scissors transients.
Figur 4.3: (a) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm (P_{fa}), and (b) Probability of detection vs probability of false alarm in transient frames (P_{fatr}). Test for a babble noise with 0 dB SNR and door knocks transients.

4.5 Conclusions

We have presented a supervised learning algorithm for voice activity detection. The algorithm incorporates features extraction procedure, where the features are based on the scattering transform, and allow for a good separation between speech frames and non-speech frames which contain transients. In addition, the features incorporate noise estimation procedure and low weights are assigned to non-speech frames which contain background noise, separating them from the speech frames. The features are used to define a continuous measure for voice activity based on the SVM classifier. The proposed algorithm outperforms state-of-the-art VADs and in particular provides enhanced voice activity detection in presence of transients.
Chapter 5

Conclusion

5.1 Summary

In this research we have addressed the problem of voice activity detection in the presence of highly non-stationary noise and transients, and have presented two supervised learning algorithms for voice activity detection. These algorithms rely on different types of representation of the speech signal, i.e., diffusion maps and the scattering transform, which are designed to separate speech and non-speech frames. The first algorithm exploits the video signal which is completely invariant to the acoustic environment along with the audio signal. First, the signals represented in a features domain which is based on MFCCs and incorporate noise estimation for the audio signal, and on motion vectors for the video signals. Then, diffusion maps is applied in the features domain of each modality and provides a parametrization of the data, i.e., a new representation of the signals which incorporates the advantages of the features and the diffusion mapping. The new representation is compact, is calculated with a low computational cost using the extension procedure, robust to noise, and separates speech and non-speech frames. In addition, this representation is unitless, and as a result, allows for merging data captured by different types of sensors. Using the new representation, we defined a measure of voice activity which incorporates a supervised learning procedure, i.e., GMM, and an unsupervised procedure, where large diffusion distance between consecutive frames indicate on voice activity. The voice activity measures of each modality are finally merged into a bimodal measure, and the speech indicator is estimated by comparing the bimodal measure to a
threshold. The experimental results demonstrate improved performance of single modal versions of the proposed VAD compared to state-of-the-art detectors. In addition, the proposed audio-visual VAD outperforms each of the single modal versions demonstrating the advantages of bimodal processing.

The second algorithm relies only on the audio signal and is based on the representation of the speech signal with the scattering transform. The scattering transform is constructed through a set of convolutions with filter banks and modulus operators. In this research we exploited the coefficients of the first two orders of the scattering transform as feature vectors which separates well speech and transient frames. These features are weighted with a scalar which incorporates noise estimation and receives low values in frames where only background noise is present. As a result, the proposed representation allows for a good separation between speech frames and both noise and transient frames. The features are used to define a new measure of voice activity which is based on the distance of a tested frame to a hyperplane, where the latter is optimized, similarly to the SVM procedure, to separate speech and non-speech clusters using labeled training data. Finally, the speech indicator is estimated by comparing the measure to a threshold. The proposed algorithm significantly outperforms state-of-the-art VADs which are based on statistical model. In addition, improved performance are demonstrated compared to the recently presented VAD in [16], in particular in the classification of frames which contain transients.

5.2 Future Research

The work presented in this thesis opens a number of research directions that can be further investigated:

1. In the present study, equal weights are assigned in the merging scheme of the two modalities. In future research, adaptive merging schemes may be developed, which incorporate estimates of the quality of the signals. For example, the weighting parameter may be adapted over time according to an estimate of the SNR of the audio signal, such that low values are assigned to the parameter for low values of the SNR, and the classification is mainly based on the video signal. Another example is using only the audio signal for the classification when the speaker moves his head.
out of the frame, making the video signal irrelevant. Eye tracking algorithm may be applied to detect such scenarios.

2. To further improve the separation between speech and transients, an estimate of the transients may be incorporated in the representation of the audio signal. For example, an estimate of the spectral variance of the transients (e.g., as proposed in [15]) may be used along with the estimate of the spectral variance of the noise for the calculation of the scalar which weights the features of the audio signal in the both proposed algorithms. In this case, low weights would be assigned to the features both in the presence of background noise and transients, which may improve the separation between speech and transient frames in the features domain.

3. We have presented two types of representations of speech signals in highly non-stationary environments. The first representation is based on diffusion maps, the second is based on the scattering transform and both incorporate noise estimation. These representations of the speech signal may be further used for other applications rather than voice activity detection, e.g., speech recognition and dominant speaker identification in noisy environments [3].

4. In the present study we found that the scattering transform may be successfully used for the representation of speech signals in a highly non-stationary environment, and it allows for a good separation between speech and transients. However, the use of high orders of the scattering transform significantly increase the dimensionality of the data. Therefore, applying dimensionality reduction methods, such as diffusion maps, to the scattering features, may provide a representation which is both compact and benefit from the advantages of the scattering transform.

5. Diffusion maps are applied in this study, similarly and separately to the features of the audio and the video signals. Therefore, diffusion maps separately reveal the underlying structure of each modality. One research direction is to develop data driven tools which are based on similar concepts to diffusion maps but reveal only the mutual underlying structure of signals which are captured by different types of sensors. For example in audio-visual speech signals, these tools would reveal the
structure of speech and not the transient since the latter is captured only by the microphone but is not captured by the camera.
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 המחקר נתמך על ידי הקרן הלאומית למדע (מענק מס' 00/1300)
אני מודה לטכניון על התמיכה הכספית הנדיבה בהשתלמותי.
גילוי דיבור הוא רכיב יסודי ביישומים רבים בתחום עיבוד הדיבור, דוגמת זיהוי דיבור, זיהוי דוברים, קידוד דיבור, והרחבת שימוש בו릅 דיבור וידאו. שיטות פוקחת גלול דיבור המботות על תכונות ט returnType של האזון וקצב הזריקה והאפס. להרחבת השימוש, מתבצעת בדיקה תכונית של המחזורים המפורטים במעבד התษา, במיוחד את האזון השתיים של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב והעתקה של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. שכיחות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב, שתייה של שיטה במעבד התześה של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב, עקפת של הפעולה של הניב הוא מעבדה של לשכת התześה של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב, ושיטה במעבד התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב, ושיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת התזית של תכונות של העקפה של הפעולה של הניב. השיטה במעבדה של לשכת הת Zika
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הזהה עם דיבור. מכיוון שייתכנו מסגרות זמן בהן הפה סגור במהלך הדיבור, מניחים בסופו של דבר המבוסס על אות השמע הוא מבוסס על התמרת פיזור. התמרת הפיזור מתקבלת על ידי אוסף של קונבולוציות של האות המורעש עם בנק של פילטרים.
ואופרטורי ערך מוחלט, היא ממסקת ייצוג של האות בצרור של רשת עמוקה. ייצוג האות על ידי הסדר הראשון של התמרת הפיזור דומה לאות על ידי ז surgeons ממסקת סלקת שלה. בשל כך, ייצוג של תמרות פיזור מודעות על פי סלקת הממסקת עבורה המסנק tướng של אשתה, موضوع של תמרות פיזור של חבריה אופרטורית בין סיכונים של זמן קצרים הנובעים מהחלקה של האות. לדוגמה, ייצוג של טרנזיאנטים שהם קצרים בזמן, יכול להיות דומה לייצוג של דיבור עקב ההחלקה והוביל לגילוי דיבור שגוי. ניתן לראות בהתמרת פיזור כרחבת שלzag pil described, ובנוסף הוא כלל פרנזיאטריעס, ומכנה זו כלל פרגונון או משכורת. המשתמש בקרטונות תכונה המבוססים על תמרות פיזור ומאפשר הפרדה בין דיבור וטרנזיאנטים, ובנוסף הוא כולל סדרה שלuzzer הנחיה שלהם. ניתן להעניק את התמרה פיזור לтвержSouthern ליצוג של איה הכוכב של תמרות פיזור של חבריה אופרטורית בין סיכונים של זמן קצרים. האלגוריתם המוצע משתמש בקרטונות תכונה המבוססים על תמרות פיזור ומאפשר הפרדה בין דיבור וטרנזיאנטים. על ידי שימוש בקרטונות תכונה אלו, אנחנו מומחים מדד חדש לגילוי דיבור המבוסס על מסווג מכונת וקטור תמיכה (Support Vector Machine). בניגוד למסווג הרגיל שמאפשר סיווג בינארי על סמך מיקום של וקטור תכונה נבחן בוاعة למישור שמקירבין בין שני אשכולות, המדד המוצע הוא רציף והוא מושך ההנחה שככל שוקיר תכונה נ trebuie רחוק יותר מהמישור המפריד כשככל ספק.gruityness לשיעור נכון שלו גדולה יותר. גילוי דיבור מתבצע על ידי השוואת המדד הרציף לסף כך שבאם המדד מעל סף מסוים אז קיים דיבור. התפלה בשימוש מדד רציף היא ניתן לשלוט ברגישות הגילוי על ידי בחירה של בסף המתאימה לﻕ 있지וטו של תמרות פיזור. תוצאות הניסיון מודגשות בקרטונות תכונה המבוססים על תמרות פיזור של חבריה אופרטורית בין סיכונים של זמן קצרים, ומאפשר הפרדה בין דיבור וטרנזיאנטים.